| | | Teachin | g Guide | | | |
|---------------------|---|--------------------|-------------------|-------------------------------|------------------------------------|--|
| | Identifyii | ng Data | | | 2016/17 | |
| Subject (*) | Proxectos 2 | 630G02006 | | | | |
| Study programme | e Grao en Estudos de Arquitectura | | | | | |
| | | Desci | riptors | | | |
| Cycle | Period | Ye | ear | Туре | Credits | |
| Graduate | 1st four-month period | Sec | cond | Obligatoria | 6 | |
| Language | SpanishGalicianEnglish | | | | · | |
| Teaching method | Face-to-face | | | | | |
| Prerequisites | | | | | | |
| Department | Proxectos Arquitectónicos e Urba | anismo | | | | |
| Coordinador | Lopez Bahut, Maria Emma | | E-mail | emma.lopez.bahı | ut@udc.es | |
| Lecturers | Casabella López, Juan José | | E-mail | juan.casabella.lo | pez@udc.es | |
| | Di Felice Vázquez, Mario Francis | SCO | | m.difelice@udc.e | es | |
| | Iglesia Perez, Manuel de la | | | m.iglesia1@udc.e | es | |
| | Lopez Bahut, Maria Emma | | | emma.lopez.bahı | ut@udc.es | |
| | Santos Zas, Gabriel Arturo gabriel.santos.zas@udc.es | | s@udc.es | | | |
| | Vazquez Diaz, Sonia sonia.vazquez.diaz@udc.es | | | | az@udc.es | |
| Web | | | | | | |
| General description | In Project Design 2 we choose a | geographical a | rea of Galicia fo | r the placement and develo | opment of the course exercises, | |
| | depending on the interest of the | place in terms o | of landscape, cu | Iture and patrimony and als | so in terms of its appropriateness | |
| | and adequacy with the objectives | s and exercises | considered. | | | |
| | The fourth-month period exercises, placed in the same geographical area, are ordered in sequential phases related one to another. | | | | | |
| | One of the primary objectives is t | to teach the stu | dents to do proj | ect design starting from the | e natural/rural physical | |
| | environment, for which it must de | | | | | |
| | The teaching methodologies are | based on ?lear | ning by doing? | n a concrete placement. T | he issues of the two exercises | |
| | proposed for the fourth-month pe | eriod, will have a | an increasing di | ficulty. The objective being | that of favoring a progression in | |
| | the learning capabilities with which the student could fill more stimulated. | | | | | |
| | The concept of architectural space, its formal expression, and its relation with the physical environment should make up an | | | environment should make up an | | |
| | essential part of the acquired kno | owieage. | | | | |

| Study programme competences |
|---|
| Study programme competences |
| Ability to design, implement and develop sketches and drafts, concept designs, developed designs and technical designs (T) |
| Ability to develop functional programs for buildings and urban spaces (T) |
| Ability to remove architectural barriers (T) |
| Adequate knowledge of the methods of studying the processes of symbolization, practical functions and ergonomics |
| Adequate knowledge of the architectural, urban and landscape traditions of Western culture, as well as their technical, climatic, economic, |
| social and ideological foundationsxicos. |
| Adequate knowledge of the relationship between cultural patterns and social responsibilities of the architect |
| Adequate knowledge of the foundations of vernacular architecture |
| Adequate knowledge of urban sociology, theory, economics and history |
| Development, presentation and public review before a university jury of an original academic work individually elaborated and linked to any |
| of the subjects previously studied |
| |

| B1 | Students have demonstrated knowledge and understanding in a field of study that is based on the general secondary education, and is |
|-----|--|
| | usually at a level which, although it is supported by advanced textbooks, includes some aspects that imply knowledge of the forefront of |
| | their field of study |
| B2 | Students can apply their knowledge to their work or vocation in a professional way and have competences that can be displayed by means |
| | of elaborating and sustaining arguments and solving problems in their field of study |
| В3 | Students have the ability to gather and interpret relevant data (usually within their field of study) to inform judgements that include |
| | reflection on relevant social, scientific or ethical issues |
| В6 | Knowing the history and theories of architecture and the arts, technologies and human sciences related to architecture |
| B10 | Knowing the physical problems, various technologies and function of buildings so as to provide them with internal conditions of comfort |
| | and protection against the climate factors in the context of sustainable development |
| B12 | Understanding the relationship between people and buildings and between these and their environment, and the need to relate buildings |
| | and the spaces between them according to the needs and human scale |
| C1 | Expressing themselves correctly, both orally and in writing, in the official languages of the autonomous region |
| C3 | Using basic tools of information technology and communications (ICT) necessary for the exercise of the profession and for lifelong |
| | learning |
| C4 | Exercising an open, educated, critical, committed, democratic and caring citizenship, being able to analyse facts, diagnose problems, |
| | formulate and implement solutions based on knowledge and solutions for the common good |
| C5 | Understanding the importance of entrepreneurship and knowing the means available to the enterpreneur |
| C6 | Critically evaluate the knowledge, technology and information available to solve the problems they must face |
| C7 | Assuming as professionals and citizens the importance of learning throughout life |
| C8 | Assessing the importance of research, innovation and technological development in the socio-economic advance of society and culture |
| | |

| Learning outcomes | | | |
|---|------|----------|------|
| Learning outcomes | Stud | y progra | amme |
| | CO | mpeten | ces |
| O resultado da aprendizaxe da materia é o coñecemento esencial e específico do título de Grado: a capacidade para a | A34 | B1 | C1 |
| concepción, a práctica e desenvolvemento de proxectos básicos e de execución, esbozo e anteproxectos | A37 | B2 | СЗ |
| | A39 | В3 | C4 |
| | A50 | В6 | C5 |
| | A53 | B10 | C6 |
| | A55 | B12 | C7 |
| | A56 | | C8 |
| | A57 | | |
| | A63 | | |

| Contents | | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| Topic | Sub-topic | | |
| 1. UNDERSTANDING/KNOWING A PLACE IN GALICIA | 1.1. On site knowledge of the place assigned. | | |
| To understand the territory contextually as a complex medium | -Place identity | | |
| of natural and anthropological realities. | | | |
| | 1.2. Spatial analysis from different perspectives: geographical, landscape, cultural and | | |
| | historical. | | |
| | -Sources and methods. | | |
| | | | |
| | 1.3. Personal synthesis of the place. | | |

| 2. PLACE COMPREHENSION. | 2.1. Pre-exinting elements |
|---|--|
| Relations between place and architecture. | |
| Natural environment: Natural field/Landscape unit. | 2.2. Scale as a resource. |
| Natural field/ Artificial medium of rural settlement | -Sense of scale in the natural field. |
| | |
| | 2.3. Community space and private space. Spatial categories. |
| 3. TO DESIGN A PLACE. | 3.1 Objectives. |
| The experience of inhabiting and living a space of a | -Development of elements typical of the natural-rural environment. |
| natural-rural character. | Determining factors of relation: preexisting natural/artificial elements, landscape, |
| | place structure (topography, climate, scale, tectonic materiality, roads, etc.) |
| | 3.2 Architectónic space exterior-interior. |
| | -Grids, textures, limits, proportions, modulations, rhythms, forms, scales, haptic |
| | perceptions, natural light. |
| | 3.3. Tasks. |
| | -Space as social medium. |
| | -Space as functional medium. |
| 4. PROCESSES. | 4.1 Development of the architectural project thru sketches, outlines, diagrams, plans, |
| Development of a set of tools for the implementation of the | models. |
| architectural project. | -Ideation as articulation. |
| | -Formalization as concretion. |
| | |
| | |

| | Planning | | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Methodologies / tests | Competencies | Ordinary class | Student?s personal | Total hours |
| | | hours | work hours | |
| Introductory activities | A34 A37 A53 A55 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | A56 A57 B3 B12 C4 | | | |
| Guest lecture / keynote speech | A34 A37 A39 A50 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| | A55 A56 A57 B6 B10 | | | |
| | B12 C8 | | | |
| Objective test | A34 A37 C1 C3 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Directed discussion | A34 A37 C1 C6 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Field trip | A34 A37 A55 A56 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| | A57 B12 C4 C8 | | | |
| Multiple-choice questions | A34 A37 B6 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| Workshop | A34 A37 A39 A50 | 38 | 79 | 117 |
| | A53 A55 A56 A57 | | | |
| | A63 B1 B2 B3 B6 B10 | | | |
| | B12 C1 C3 C4 C5 C6 | | | |
| | C7 C8 | | | |
| Document analysis | A34 A37 A53 A55 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| | A56 A57 B6 | | | |
| Workbook | A34 A37 B1 B2 B3 B6 | 0 | 5 | 5 |
| Diagramming | A34 A37 A53 A55 | 0 | 2 | 2 |
| | A56 B6 | | | |
| Personalized attention | | 0 | | 0 |

(*)The information in the planning table is for guidance only and does not take into account the heterogeneity of the students.

| | Methodologies |
|---------------------------|---|
| Methodologies | Description |
| Introductory activities | Getting to the place in which it will work, exposing the projects to be developed in him throughout the semester. |
| Guest lecture / | Oral presentation of the theoretical contents of the subject, with the aim of facilitating students to develop the specific work of |
| keynote speech | the workshop. |
| Objective test | The student will develop, as a second opportunity and in the classroom, a design practice that will show in a synthetic way the abilities and competences acquired around issues related to the themes and places undertaken in the course exercises. |
| Directed discussion | Group dynamics technique in which group members discuss free, informal and spontaneous way on an issue, but may be coordinated by the professor. |
| Field trip | Visiting the place where the work of the semester will be developed. The aim is direct and systematic observation of the site, gathering information, data, sketches, analysis, etc. |
| Multiple-choice questions | Objective test on the topics covered in lectures. |
| Workshop | The workshop is the basic formative mode of the subject Design Project 2. It is oriented to the implementation of trainings in which we can combine different methodologies (individual or group practices, work exhibition and work practices, debates and commentaries as well as individual teaching). Thru the workshop the student will develop design proposals with the help and guidance off the teaching stuff. The workshop will be organized in small groups of students. Complementary oral presentations will take place to introduce the theoretical issues related to each phase of the exercise being developed that will allow the student to face the subject and develop the workshop works and practices. |
| Document analysis | Student Portfolio: It will summarize the data that the student has produced from the theoretical sections as well as the personal thoughts related to the architectural project; graphic data, images, drawings, bibliography and notes related to the place of work as well as to the development of each one of the exercises proposed. This methodology will be obligatory for the evaluation of the Project Design Workshop |
| Workbook | Student Portfolio: It will summarize the data that the student has produced from the theoretical sections as well as the personal thoughts related to the architectural project; graphic data, images, drawings, bibliography and notes related to the place of work as well as to the development of each one of the exercises proposed. This methodology will be obligatory for the evaluation of the Project Design Workshop |
| Diagramming | Student Portfolio: It will summarize the data that the student has produced from the theoretical sections as well as the personal thoughts related to the architectural project; graphic data, images, drawings, bibliography and notes related to the place of work as well as to the development of each one of the exercises proposed. This methodology will be obligatory for the evaluation of the Project Design Workshop |

| | Personalized attention | | | | |
|-------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| Methodologies | Description | | | | |
| Workshop | The professor in charge of the workshop will guide simultaneously the group work as well as the work of each student assuring | | | | |
| Document analysis | the individual evolution thru the project process. | | | | |
| Diagramming | This personalized attention will be extended to the partially shared teaching task that takes place in Project Design 2 with the | | | | |
| Workbook | professors of Architectural Analysis 1, who will join the tutoring of the group at the collective as well as at the individual level. | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | | | |

| | | Assessment | |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| Methodologies | Competencies | Description | Qualification |

| Objective test | A34 A37 C1 C3 | For passing the subject in First Opportunity it will be necessary to comply with three | 0 |
|-------------------|---------------------|---|----|
| | | requirements: | |
| | | 1- Class assistance of 80%. | |
| | | 2- All the exercises should have been turned in on time and with a complete proposal, | |
| | | according to the subject's timetable. | |
| | | 3- First Opportunity exam. It will be an exam that will show in a synthetic manner the | |
| | | abilities and competences acquired by the student in relation to the issues studied | |
| | | while developing the course exercises. | |
| | | The course's final evaluation will comply with the following percentages: | |
| | | -80% will be related to the work developed during the four month period. | |
| | | -20% will be related to the First Opportunity exam. | |
| | | It will not be admissible to turn in additional work between first and second opportunity | |
| | | exams. | |
| | | If any of the three requirements mentioned above are not fulfilled, the grade will be | |
| | | ?No Presentado?. In such case, the Second Opportunity will have the same ?No | |
| | | Presentado? grade due to the fact that it does not comply with the condition of | |
| | | continuous evaluation. | |
| Workshop | A34 A37 A39 A50 | Project Design 2 will be taught in the workshop during approximately 30 meetings, of | 85 |
| ννοικοπορ | A53 A55 A56 A57 | which 15 have shared teaching. It will be graded thru continued evaluation for which | 00 |
| | | 5 | |
| | A63 B1 B2 B3 B6 B10 | | |
| | B12 C1 C3 C4 C5 C6 | progress. Besides the good quality and the rising progression of the courses work, it is | |
| | C7 C8 | an indispensable requirement to have turned in all the exercises on time and in | |
| | | accordance with the courses guidelines as well as having complied with the minimum | |
| | | assistance , in the workshop as well as in the shared teaching, of 80% in each one. | |
| | | The student personal work will be graded taking into account the two exercises | |
| | | developed thru the four-month period in the workshop. | |
| | | It will be graded as Non Presented any student that falls into the following | |
| | | circumstances: | |
| | | -it has not completed the minimum assistance required. | |
| | | -it has turned in work that lacks prepositive content and incomplete. | |
| | | It will be forbidden to complete or modify the courses work beyond the date of | |
| | | completion if it lacks sufficient development. | |
| Document analysis | A34 A37 A53 A55 | Student Portfolio: It will summarize the data that the student has produced from the | 5 |
| | A56 A57 B6 | theoretical sections as well as the personal thoughts related to the architectural | |
| | | project; graphic data, images, drawings, bibliography and notes related to the place of | |
| | | work as well as to the development of each one of the exercises proposed. | |
| | | This methodology will be obligatory for the evaluation of the Project Design Workshop | |
| Diagramming | A34 A37 A53 A55 | Student Portfolio: It will summarize the data that the student has produced from the | 5 |
| 3 | A56 B6 | theoretical sections as well as the personal thoughts related to the architectural | |
| | 7.00 20 | project; graphic data, images, drawings, bibliography and notes related to the place of | |
| | | work as well as to the development of each one of the exercises proposed. | |
| | | This methodology will be obligatory for the evaluation of the Project Design Workshop | |
| Markhaak | A34 A37 B1 B2 B3 B6 | | |
| Workbook | A34 A31 D1 D2 D3 B0 | Student Portfolio: It will summarize the data that the student has produced from the | 5 |
| | | theoretical sections as well as the personal thoughts related to the architectural | |
| | | project; graphic data, images, drawings, bibliography and notes related to the place of | |
| | | work as well as to the development of each one of the exercises proposed. | |
| | | This methodology will be obligatory for the evaluation of the Project Design Workshop | |

Assessment comments

| | Sources of information |
|-------|--|
| Basic | - ALEXANDER, Ch. (). El lenguaje de patrones. Ed. G. Gili. |
| | - ARNHEIM, R. (). Arte y percepción visual. Gustavo Gili |
| | - DE LLANO, P. (). Arquitectura popular en Galicia:Razón e construcción. COAG |
| | - DESPLAZES, A. (). Construir la Arquitectura |
| | - GAUSA, M., GUALLART, V., MÚLLER, W.M (). (). Diccionario Metapolis de Arquitectura Avanzada. Barcelona, Acta |
| | - HERTZBERGER, H. (). Lessons for students in architecture. 010 Publishers |
| | - KANDINSKY, Wassily (). Cursos de la Bauhaus. Alianza Forma |
| | - KLEE, Paul (). Pedagogical sketchbook. N.Y., Praeger Pu |
| | - LENAGHAN, P. (). Fotografías de Ruth Matilda anderson en Galicia. Fund. Caixa Galicia |
| | - McHARG, I. (). Design with nature. Doubleday |
| | - MONEO, Rafael (). Comentarios sobre los dibujos de 20 arquitectos actuales. ETSAB |
| | - MUNTAÑOLA Th., J. (). Arquitectura y contexto. eD UPC |
| | - NORBERG-SCHULZ, Ch. (). Espacio existencial-espacio arquitectónico. Gustavo Gili |
| | - OTERO PEDRAYO, R. (). Paisaxe e cultura Vigo, Ed. Galaxia |
| | - PALLASMA, J., (). Los ojos de la piel. La arquitectura y los sentidos. Barcelona, Gustavo Gili |
| | - ZEVI, Bruno (). Saber ver la arquitectura. Poseidón |
| | - ABALOS, I. (). Naturaleza y artificio. Barcelona, Gustavo Gili |
| | |

Recommendations

Subjects that it is recommended to have taken before

Xeometría Descritiva/630G02003

Debuxo de Arquitectura/630G02002

Análise de Formas Arquitectónicas/630G02007

Proxectos 1/630G02001

Complementary

Xeometría da Forma Arquitectónica/630G02014

Subjects that are recommended to be taken simultaneously

Análise Arquitectónico 1/630G02012

Subjects that continue the syllabus

Proxectos 3/630G02011

Other comments

Specific

conditions related to mobility for incoming and outgoing students. Since Project Design 2 pursues a continued evaluation for all students, including those who are in outgoing or incoming mobility, the same conditions of evaluation will be applied for all students, notwithstanding the fact that specific attention will be given to incoming students because of language or other clear differences between the schools of origin and destiny.

(*)The teaching guide is the document in which the URV publishes the information about all its courses. It is a public document and cannot be modified. Only in exceptional cases can it be revised by the competent agent or duly revised so that it is in line with current legislation.