		Teachin	g Guide			
Identifying Data					2018/19	
Subject (*)	Research and Evaluation of Quality in Education Programmes Code			652G01010		
Study programme	Grao en Educación Infantil			'	•	
		Desci	riptors			
Cycle	Period	Ye	ear	Туре	Credits	
Graduate	2nd four-month period	Fi	rst	Obligatory	6	
Language	SpanishGalician					
Teaching method	Face-to-face					
Prerequisites						
Department	Didácticas Específicas e Métodos	s de Investigac	ión e Diagnóstico e	en Educación		
Coordinador	Muñoz Cantero, Jesus Miguel		E-mail	jesus.miguel.mun	noz@udc.es	
Lecturers	Espiñeira Bellon, Eva Maria		E-mail	eva.espineira@u	dc.es	
	Losada Puente, Luisa			luisa.losada@udo	dc.es	
	Muñoz Cantero, Jesus Miguel			jesus.miguel.mun	oz@udc.es	
Web	www.educacion.udc.es/index.php	o?pagina=asigr	natura&codigo	=652G01010&grup	oo=B	
General description	Research and evaluation in Educ	ation begins to	be oriented toward	ds a broader knowledge	about the discovery of the	
	possibilities of teaching and learn	ning processes	for researchers, be	ecoming a tool for the pe	rmanent and autonomous	
	formation of the teachers. Conve	rting, in this wa	y, the teachers into	investigating agents ar	nd evaluators.	
	The basic formative purpose of this subject is focused on knowledge of the field of research and educational evaluation from three perspectives; theoretical, methodological and instrumental. The proposal presented in this program is aimed at students having a general overview of these disciplinary fields, as well as to be exercised in specific practices of research and evaluation, which involve a control of the quality of processes and the products that will lead to improve the quality of teaching. These practices are specified in the framework of this program and will be developed in parallel to the theoretical contents.			h and educational evaluation		
	Therefore, there is a need for a research teacher whose role is fundamentally critical and reflective, who focuses his activity towards a direct knowledge of the context where he acts to direct him towards the achievement of a change.					

	Study programme competences / results
Code	Study programme competences / results
A23	Comprender que a observación sistemática é un instrumento básico para poder reflexionar sobre a práctica e a realidade, así como
	contribuír á innovación e á mellora en educación infantil.
A24	Dominar as técnicas de observación e rexistro.
A25	Abordar análises de campo mediante metodoloxía observacional utilizando tecnoloxías da información, documentación e audiovisuais.
A26	Saber analizar os datos obtidos, comprender criticamente a realidade e elaborar un informe de conclusións.
A29	Valorar a importancia do traballo en equipo.
A30	Participar na elaboración e seguimento de proxectos educativos de educación infantil no marco de proxectos de centro e na colaboración
	co territorio e con outros profesionais e axentes sociais.
B2	Resolver problemas e tomar decisións de forma efectiva.
B5	Traballar de forma colaborativa.
B10	Capacidade de análise e síntese.
B11	Capacidade de busca e manexo de información.
B12	Capacidade de organización e planificación.
B13	Capacidade para actuar de maneira sustentable na defensa do medio ambiente.
B16	Capacidade para integrarse e comunicarse con expertos noutras áreas e en contextos diferentes.
C1	Expresarse correctamente, tanto de forma oral coma escrita, nas linguas oficiais da comunidade autónoma.
C6	Valorar criticamente o coñecemento, a tecnoloxía e a información dispoñible para resolver os problemas cos que deben enfrontarse.



Valorar a importancia que ten a investigación, a innovación e o desenvolvemento tecnolóxico no avance socioeconómico e cultural da sociedade.

Learning outcomes			
Learning outcomes	Stud	y progra	amme
	con	npetenc	es/
		results	
Upon successful completion of this course, students will be able to:	A29	B5	C1
- Extract the relevant information from scientific articles through the use of the scientific method and the research process.		B11	C8
		B12	
Explain the concepts and models of excellence applicable to educational quality.	A29	B5	C1
		B10	
		B11	
		B13	
		B16	
Put into practice the essential elements of an educational center project including the decrees and orders that regulate it, as	A29	B5	C1
well as scientific information relevant to their professional practice.	A30	B10	
		B11	
		B12	
Demonstrate the use of relevant information collection tools to evaluate satisfaction with an educational center project.	A23	B5	C1
	A24	B11	
	A25	B12	
	A29	B13	
	A30		
Design an improvement proposal that includes the planning, execution and evaluation process for each weakness found in	A26	B2	C1
an educational center project.	A29	B5	C6
	A30	B12	
		B13	

	Contents
Topic	Sub-topic

Block I. Conceptualization of research, evaluation and quality	Topic 1. The educational research process
Block I. Conceptualization of research, evaluation and quality	1.1. Conceptualization
	1.2. Problem formulation
	1.3. Review of literature / bibliography
	1.4. Hypotheses and variables
	1.5. Variables
	1.6. The sample
	1.7. Information collection techniques
	1.8. Data analysis techniques
	1.9. Conclusions
	1.10. The research report
	Topic 2. Approach to the evaluation concept
	2.1. Evolution of the concept
	2.2. Definition of educational evaluation
	2.3. Type of evaluation
	2.4. Objectives and functions of the evaluation
	Topic 3. Approach to the concept of educational quality
	3.1. Evolution of the concept
	3.2. Approach to the concept of educational quality
	3.3. Typologies
	3.4. The total quality management systems
	3.4.1. Process management
Block II. Information collection techniques	Topic 4. Information collection techniques
	4.1. Observation techniques
	4.2. Sociometric techniques
	4.3. Biographical techniques
	4.4. Group techniques
	4.5. Attitude scales
	4.6. Tests
Block III. Observational methodology	Topic 5. Observation techniques
	5.1. Simple direct observation
	5.2. Direct experimental observation
	5.3. Documentary observation
	5.4. Observation by survey
Block IV. Analysis of information and preparation of the report	Topic 6. Data analysis techniques
	6.1. Classification of data
	6.2. Analysis of data
	6.3. Conclusions
	Topic 7. The research report
	7.1. Aspects to take into account for its preparation
	7.2. Content and formal presentation

Block V. Models for quality assessment of educational cent	ers
and projects	

Topic 8. Evaluation models

- 8.1. Organization evaluation models
- 8.2. Evaluation models from an epistemological and methodological point of view
- 8.3. Humanistic, phenomenological or subjectivist models
- 8.4. Holistic models

Topic 9. Quality management models

- 9.1. Model of global quality control of the company
- 9.2. Model of the Deming Award for quality
- 9.3. Model of Malcolm Baldrige
- 9.4. European Model of Quality Management
- 9.5 Ibero-American Model of Excellence in Management

Topic 10. Types of educational projects

- 10.1. Center Educational Project
- 10.2. Center Curriculum Project
- 10.3. Stage Curriculum Project
- 10.4. Cycle Curriculum Project
- 10.5. Cycle Didactic Programming
- 10.6. Schedules of activities and tasks -Programming Classroom-
- 10.7. Other plans / programs / projects
- 10.7.1. Plan attention to diversity
- 10.7.2. Plan of Orientation and Action Tutorial
- 10.7.3. Annual Teacher Training Program
- 10.7.4. Annual General Programming
- 10.7.5. Coexistence Plan
- 10.7.6. Linguistic project
- 10.7.7. Reading proyet
- 10.7.8. ICT Plan
- 10.7.9. Adaptation Period Plan

Topic 11. Evaluation of Educational Projects

- 11.1. The evaluation of quality in educational centers. Typology.
- 11.2. Quality evaluation in early childhood education
- 11.3. Improvement plan
- 11.3.1. Criteria, scopes and indicators

Planning				
Methodologies / tests	Competencies /	Teaching hours	Student?s personal	Total hours
	Results	(in-person & virtual)	work hours	
Guest lecture / keynote speech	A23 A30 C6 C8	10.5	5.25	15.75
Supervised projects	A24 A25 A26 A29	10.5	36.75	47.25
	A30 B2 B5 B10 B11			
	B12 B13 C1 C6			
Events academic / information	B16 C6 C8	4	0	4
Document analysis	B10 B11 B12 C6 C8	5	2	7
Collaborative learning	A29 B5 B12 C1	6	21	27
Mixed objective/subjective test	A23 A24 A25 A26	6	24	30
	A30 B2 B11 B12 C1			
	C6 C8			



Personalized attention 19 0 19

(*)The information in the planning table is for guidance only and does not take into account the heterogeneity of the students.

	Methodologies
Methodologies	Description
Guest lecture /	Some contents of the program need a masterful exposition on the part of the teaching staff to focus the teaching-learning
keynote speech	process.
	For this reason, the expository classes focus on the oral presentation of said contents, complemented by the use of
	audiovisual media and the introduction of some questions addressed to the students, in order to transmit knowledge and
	facilitate learning.
Supervised projects	The lectures will be combined with interactive classes guided by the faculty and in which the students charge an important
	role. In the interactive classes, methodologies designed to promote the autonomous learning of the students will be used,
	under the tutelage of the teaching staff and in varied scenarios (academic and professional). They will be referred primarily to
	the learning of "how to do things", which is an option based on the assumption by the students of the responsibility
	for their own learning. This teaching system is based on two basic elements: the independent learning of the students and the
	monitoring of that learning by the teacher-titor.
	The contents developed in the supervised works will be part of the mixed test.
Events academic /	Activities carried out by students that involve attendance and / or participation in scientific and / or informative events
information	(conferences, seminars, symposia, courses, seminars, conferences, exhibitions, etc.) with the aim of deepening knowledge of
	study topics related to the subject. These activities provide students with current knowledge and experiences that incorporate
	the latest news regarding a specific field of study.
	The contents developed in these talks, conferences, will be part of the mixed test.
Document analysis	Research skills development involving use of audiovisual and/or bibliographical documents (documentary or film extracts,
	news items, advertising images, photographs, articles, legal texts, etc.) relating to specific topic of study, with targeted analysis
	activities. Used as introduction to topic, as focus for case study, to explain abstract processes and present complex situations,
	or as strategy for synthesising content (theoretical and practical).
Collaborative learning	Guided teaching-learning procedures (overseen in person and/or using ICT methods) based on organisation of class into small
	groups in which students work together to solve tasks assigned by teacher, with aim of optimising their learning experience
	and that of other members of group.
Mixed	The final exam set by the Faculty Board will be done through a mixed test. This test will integrate questions type of essay tests
objective/subjective	(open and development) and questions type of objective tests, which may combine questions of multiple response, order,
test	short answer, discrimination, complete and / or association.

Personalized attention	
Methodologies	Description

Supervised projects	The faculty will follow up on the work that the assistant students are doing through the practices they are doing.
Guest lecture /	
keynote speech	It is important that students do their practices from the first day and go to the tutorials assiduously in order to resolve any
Mixed	doubts that may be appropriate.
objective/subjective	
test	The tutorials will be developed, therefore, in the classroom, during the classes and in the teacher's office, in the following way:
	* In the case of general indications to the whole group (will be made in the lectures).
	* To small work groups (will be made in interactive classes -practical- or in the office).
	* Individuals (will be made in the office and will deal with the progress of the students).

		Assessment		
Methodologies	Competencies /	Description	Qualification	
	Results			
Supervised projects	A24 A25 A26 A29	The methodology of tutored works will be put into practice in the interactive classes.	30	
	A30 B2 B5 B10 B11	To carry out the case study it is necessary to form small working groups and the		
	B12 B13 C1 C6	following should be taken into account:		
		- Small groups will be formed (no more than 4 people).		
		- Each group will choose a coordinating person, responsible for presenting and		
		defending the ideas of their working group, in the case in which it is requested, which		
		will be rotating in each practice.		
		- These groups will be formed on the first day of class by the faculty.		
		The faculty will follow up on the practices that are being developed by each group. It is		
		not necessary to deliver the practices carried out but the teachers will follow up		
		starting from what is exposed in the classroom.		
		The faculty will indicate proposals for improvement of each practice to each group so		
		that in the mixed test of the subject the students know how to carry out the practices		
		without problem. They are, therefore, subject of examination.		
Guest lecture / keynote speech	A23 A30 C6 C8	Assistance and participation in classes, seminars and tutorials.	10	
Mixed	A23 A24 A25 A26	The final exam set by the Faculty Board will be done through a mixed test in which all	60	
objective/subjective test	A30 B2 B11 B12 C1 C6 C8	the subject will be included (lectures + interactive classes).		
tost	00 00	The correction system of said test will be the following:		
		- For true / false questions: an incorrect answer will subtract a correct answer.		
		- For questions of several alternatives the random correction formula will be applied:		
		successes - errors / alternative no - 1.		

Assessment comments

PUPIL ASSISTANT: The evaluation conditions indicated are set for the student attending the class.

- Attendance students are considered to be those who present a maximum of 20% of absences during the course; therefore, the faculty may request students to sign a sheet both at the entrance and at the exit.
- Up to 20% of the classes can be developed through lectures, conferences, attendance at seminars that do not have to coincide with the usual schedule of the classes, but that assistance will be necessary for students considered as face-to-face. In any case, the content of the talks will be part of the evaluation test for both assistance modalities.
- The student can justify his absences of attendance.NON-ASSISTANT PUPILS: Students who are not part of any group, do not perform the corresponding practices (tutored work) or do not attend classes regularly, will be considered non-attending students.
- The non-attending students will have to present the practices developed during the semester that will be valued with 10% of the final grade of the subject. Each of the practices must consist of:
- * Practice instructions.
- * Development of the practice.
- * Personal assessment with respect to professional practice: practical applications of the contents of the practice developed in the world of early childhood education, what I have learned with this practice for my professional future, ...
- * Personal appraisals about the tutorials carried out: in particular (indicate if any tutoring, time and place of said tutoring was used for the development of each practice, development of the tutoring process and personal decisions taken with respect to the guidance provided by the teachers) and in general (adaptation of the tutoring schedule, treatment received, general assessment).
- * Assessment of the timing of the development of the practice.
- * Other aspects: materials prepared by the students in order to complete the contents, bibliographic references or websites consulted, ...

It is recommended to send the works telematically and if not possible, do not use plastics, choose double-sided printing, use recycled paper and avoid printing drafts. It must make a sustainable use of resources and the prevention of negative impacts on the natural environment. The importance of ethical principles related to the values ??of sustainability in personal and professional behavior must be taken into account.

- The maximum delivery deadline will be the week following the end of the classes.
- The score of this work is 1 point but it is necessary to achieve half of the score (that is, 0.5 points) to be able to pass the subject.

NOTE: The UDC regulates the enrollment of part-time students, criteria that will also be taken into account by the faculty, so it will be necessary for students with this type of dedication regime to inform the faculty and agree on the manner in which that the teaching-learning process will be developed.

Sources of information

D !-	Bounds Comment to Orthogother (5 (0000) A. J.
Basic	- Bernardo Carrasco, J. e Calderero Hernández, J.F. (2000). Aprendo a investigar en educación. Madrid: Rialp
	- Castillo Arredondo, S. y Cabrerizo Diago, J. (2011). Evaluación de la intervención socioeducativa : Agentes, ámbitos
	y proyectos. Madrid: Pearson Educación
	- Corbetta, P. (2007 (2010 reimp).). Metodología y técnicas de investigación social. Madrid: McGraw-Hill
	- Fernández-Ballesteros, R. (2006). Evaluación psicológica : conceptos, métodos y estudio de casos. Madrid: Pirámide
	- Frábegues, S. (2016). Técnicas de investigación social y educativa. Barcelona: Universidad Abierta de Cataluña
	- Gil Pascual, J.A. (2010). Bases metodológicas de la investigación educativa : (análisis de datos). Madrid: UNED
	- Gil Pascual, J.A. (2011). Técnicas e instrumentos para la recogida de información. Madrid: UNED
	- Greenhalgh, T. (2015). Como leer un artículo científico. Barcelona: Elsevier
	- Martínez Mediano, C. (2007). Evaluación de programas educativos : investigación evaluativa, modelos de
	evaluación de programas Madrid: UNED
	- Mateo, J. e Martínez, F. (2008). Medición y evaluación educativa. Madrid: La Muralla
	- McMillan, J.H. e Schumacher, S. (2005 (2011 reimp.)). Investigación educativa una introducción conceptual.
	Madridd: Pearson Educación
	- Pérez Juste, R. (2006). Evaluación de programas educativos. Madrid: La Muralla
	- Rubio, M.J. y Varas, J. (2004). El Análisis de la realidad en la intervención social : métodos y técnicas de
	investigación. Madrid: CCS
	- Ruíz Olabuénaga, J.I. (2003). Técnicas de triangulación y control de calidad en la investigación educativa. Bilbao:
	Fundación Horreum
	- Wood, P. y Smith, J. (2017). Investigar en educación. Conceptos básicos y metodología para desarrollar proyectos
	de investigación. Madrid: Narcea ediciones
	 br />
Complementary	- Buendía Eisman,L., González González, D. y Pozo Llorente, T. (2004). Temas fundamentales en la investigación
	educativa. Madrid: La Muralla
	- Fondevila Gascón, J. F. (2013). El trabajo de fin de grado en Ciencias Sociales y Jurídicas : guía metodológica.
	Madrid : Ediciones Internacionales Universitarias
	- García Sanz, M.P. e Martínez Clares, P.ords.) (2012). Guía práctica para la realización de trabajos fin de grado y
	trabajos fin de máster. Madrid: Universidad de Murcia
	Recommendations
	Subjects that it is recommended to have taken before
	Subjects that are recommended to be taken simultaneously

(*)The teaching guide is the document in which the URV publishes the information about all its courses. It is a public document and cannot be modified. Only in exceptional cases can it be revised by the competent agent or duly revised so that it is in line with current legislation.

Subjects that continue the syllabus

Other comments