

		Teachin	g Guide			
Identifying Data					2020/21	
Subject (*)	Architectural Design 2 Code			630G02006		
Study programme	Grao en Estudos de Arquitectura					
		Descr	iptors			
Cycle	Period	Credits				
Graduate	1st four-month period Second Obligatory				6	
Language	SpanishGalicianEnglish					
Teaching method	Face-to-face					
Prerequisites						
Department	Proxectos Arquitectónicos, Urbar	nismo e Compo	sición			
Coordinador	Muñoz Fontenla, Luis W		E-mail	I.w.munoz.fontenl	a@udc.es	
Lecturers	Di Felice Vázquez, Mario Francis	SCO	E-mail	m.difelice@udc.es	8	
	Iglesia Perez, Manuel de la			m.iglesia1@udc.e	S	
	Lopez Bahut, Maria Emma			emma.lopez.bahu	t@udc.es	
	Muñoz Fontenla, Luis W			I.w.munoz.fontenl	a@udc.es	
	Vazquez Diaz, Sonia sonia.vazquez.		sonia.vazquez.dia	iaz@udc.es		
Web						
General description	In the subject of Architectural De	sign 2 we selec	t a geographica	I area of Galicia for the plac	cement and development of the	
	exercises. This selection is based	d on the interes	t of the place in	terms of landscape, culture	e and patrimony and also in	
	terms of its appropriateness and adequacy regarding the learning objectives. Two exercises are proposed for the fourth-month period, and they are placed in the same geographical area. They are					
	undertaken in sequential phases	and both are re	alated between t	hem. One of the basic obje	ctives is teaching the students	
	how to undertake the design proc	cess taking into	account the pla	ce where they are set, read	ling the natural/rural physical	
	environment. They must develop specific abilities and strategies for that.					
	Teaching methods are based on	?learning by do	oing?, confrontin	g the students with specific	commitments in particular	
	placements. The difficulty of the two exercises proposed during the fourth-month period increases gradually. The aim c					
that is favouring a progression in their learning capabilities, boosting the students? confidence and stimulation.					nce and stimulation.	
	Generating architectural ideas, formalising them and paying attention to their relationship with the physical environment					
	should make up an essential part of the acquired knowledge.					



Contingency plan	1. Modifications to the contents
	The contents of the subject are not modified.
	2. Methodologies
	*Teaching methodologies that are maintained:
	Introductory activities, Document analysis, Workbook and Diagramming.
	*Teaching methodologies that are modified:
	Guest lecture / keynote speech, Objective Test, Directed Discussion and Workshop: They will be carried out telematically.
	Field trip: II will adjust to the possibilities.
	3. Mechanisms for personalized attention to students
	Tools used / Temporalization:
	Email / Permanent
	Moodle / Permanent
	Teams / According to official schedule
	4. Modifications in the evaluation
	The evaluation conditions are not modified.
	*Evaluation observations:
	The objective tests will be carried out telematically.
	For foreign students, the objective test may be replaced by a supervised work proposed by the teacher.
	5. Modifications to the bibliography or webgraphy
	There are no modifications.

	Study programme competences / results
Code	Study programme competences / results
A34	Ability to design, implement and develop sketches and drafts, concept designs, developed designs and technical designs (T)
A37	Ability to develop functional programs for buildings and urban spaces (T)
A39	Ability to remove architectural barriers (T)
A50	Adequate knowledge of the methods of studying the processes of symbolization, practical functions and ergonomics
A53	Adequate knowledge of the architectural, urban and landscape traditions of Western culture, as well as their technical, climatic, economic,
	social and ideological foundationsxicos.
A55	Adequate knowledge of the relationship between cultural patterns and social responsibilities of the architect
A56	Adequate knowledge of the foundations of vernacular architecture
A57	Adequate knowledge of urban sociology, theory, economics and history
A63	Development, presentation and public review before a university jury of an original academic work individually elaborated and linked to any
	of the subjects previously studied
B1	Students have demonstrated knowledge and understanding in a field of study that is based on the general secondary education, and is
	usually at a level which, although it is supported by advanced textbooks, includes some aspects that imply knowledge of the forefront of
	their field of study
B2	Students can apply their knowledge to their work or vocation in a professional way and have competences that can be displayed by means
	of elaborating and sustaining arguments and solving problems in their field of study
B3	Students have the ability to gather and interpret relevant data (usually within their field of study) to inform judgements that include
	reflection on relevant social, scientific or ethical issues
B6	Knowing the history and theories of architecture and the arts, technologies and human sciences related to architecture
B10	Knowing the physical problems, various technologies and function of buildings so as to provide them with internal conditions of comfort
	and protection against the climate factors in the context of sustainable development



B12	Understanding the relationship between people and buildings and between these and their environment, and the need to relate buildings
	and the spaces between them according to the needs and human scale
C1	Adequate oral and written expression in the official languages.
C3	Using ICT in working contexts and lifelong learning.
C4	Exercising an open, educated, critical, committed, democratic and caring citizenship, being able to analyse facts, diagnose problems,
	formulate and implement solutions based on knowledge and solutions for the common good
C5	Understanding the importance of entrepreneurial culture and the useful means for enterprising people.
C6	Critically evaluate the knowledge, technology and information available to solve the problems they must face
C7	Assuming as professionals and citizens the importance of learning throughout life
C8	Valuing the importance of research, innovation and technological development for the socioeconomic and cultural progress of society.

Learning outcomes				
Learning outcomes		Study programme competences /		
The learning outcome of this subject is the same of the Degree essential and specific aim: the capability of conceiving and	A34	B1	C1	
developing preliminary designs, schematic designs, design developments and construction documents.	A37	B2	C3	
	A39	B3	C4	
In the preparation and development of the course and in the selection of sites and projects, special attention will be paid to	A50	B6	C5	
respect for nature and the use of good environmental practices.	A53	B10	C6	
	A55	B12	C7	
	A56		C8	
	A57			
	A63			

	Contents
Торіс	Sub-topic
1. UNDERSTANDING/KNOWING A PLACE.	1.1. On site knowledge of the place assigned.
To understand the territory contextually as a complex medium	-Place identity.
of natural and anthropological realities.	
	1.2. Spatial analysis from different perspectives: geographical, landscape, cultural and
	historical.
	-Sources and methods.
	1.3. Personal synthesis of the place.
2. PLACE GRASP.	2.1. Pre-existing elements.
Relations between place and architecture.	
Natural environment: Natural field / Landscape unit.	2.2. Scale as a resource.
Natural field / Manmade environment of rural settlements.	-Sense of scale in the natural field.
	2.3. Community space and private space. Spatial categories.



3. TO DESIGN A PLACE.	3.1 Objectives.
The experience of inhabiting and living a space with a	-Design of elements according to the natural-rural environment.
character half natural, half rural.	-Determining factors in design: preexisting natural/artificial elements, landscape, place
	structure (topography, climate, scale, tectonic materiality, roads, etc.).
	3.2 Architectural space, indoor and outdoor.
	-Grids, textures, limits, proportions, modulations, rhythms, forms, scales, haptic
	perceptions, natural light.
	3.3. Commitments.
	-Space as social set.
	-Space as functional set.
4. PROCESSES.	4.1 Development of the architectural design through sketches, outlines, diagrams,
Development of a set of tools for the implementation of the	plans, models.
architectural design.	-Ideation through articulation.
	-Formalisation as a way of concretion.

	Planning	g		
Methodologies / tests	Competencies /	Teaching hours	Student?s personal	Total hours
	Results	(in-person & virtual)	work hours	
Introductory activities	A34 A37 A53 A55	1	0	1
	A56 A57 B3 B12 C4			
Guest lecture / keynote speech	A34 A37 A39 A50	10	0	10
	A55 A56 A57 B6 B10			
	B12 C8			
Objective test	A34 A37 C1 C3	4	0	4
Directed discussion	A34 A37 C1 C6	6	0	6
Field trip	A34 A37 A55 A56	4	0	4
	A57 B12 C4 C8			
Workshop	A34 A37 A39 A50	24	73	97
	A53 A55 A56 A57			
	A63 B1 B2 B3 B6 B10			
	B12 C1 C3 C4 C5 C6			
	C7 C8			
Document analysis	A34 A37 A53 A55	0	6	6
	A56 A57 B6			
Workbook	A34 A37 B1 B2 B3 B6	0	6	6
Diagramming	A34 A37 A53 A55	0	6	6
	A56 B6			
Personalized attention		10	0	10

Methodologies			
Methodologies	Description		
Introductory activities	tivities Getting to the place where all the exercises will be developed, explaining the commitments and objectives of each of them		
	during the four-month period.		



Guest lecture /	Oral presentation, with multimedia support, of the theoretical contents of the subject, with the aim of transferring knowledge,
keynote speech	promoting its development and facilitating the student the elaboration of the specific work of the Workshop. Stimulating their
	autonomous learning.
Objective test	Development in the classroom of a practice that demonstrates in a synthetic way the skills and competences acquired by the
	student around the topics covered in the course exercises.
	This test may include questions on the topics covered in the Master Classes.
Directed discussion	Group dynamics technique in which group members discuss in a free, informal and spontaneous way about an issue, but may
	be conducted by the professor.
Field trip	Visiting the place where the designs will be set. The aim are facilitating direct and systematic observation of the site, gathering
	information, data, sketches, analysis, etc.
Workshop	The workshop is the main teaching method in this subject. Different proccesses can be applied, such as individual and group
	tasks, discussions, assessments, personal advice, and so on.
	In the workshop, the students will develop the exercises formulated, under the teacher's support and supervision.
	Collaborative learning: The group is divided in smaller ones, where the students and the teacher work together to solve the
	tasks needed. That is the way of gathering and sharing the biggest amount of information possible. This includes data
	gathering, on site measurements, infographic treatment of documents, site analysis, example searching, construction of scale
	models representing the environment, and so forth.
	Complementary lectures will be given, delivering theoretical support for each of the design stages. This will help the students to find the more adequate solution for the exercises and to develop and materialise them.
	The individual and collective outcomes of the workshop will be collected in a Portfolio.
Document analysis	It is the collection and processing of data coming from the theoretical lectures as well as the bibliographic and documental searches.
	This work will be gathered in the student's Portfolio.
Workbook	Readings undertaken from a critical attitude, both of references given by the teachers and the ones proposed by the student
	as well. Summaries and notes showing the fundamental contents and main ideas.
	This work will be gathered in the student's Portfolio.
Diagramming	Synthesis between the main contents and the personal reflections regarding architectural design: graphic data, images,
	drawings, sketches, bibliography and notes related to the site. The design process will be shown. work as well as to the
	development of each one of the exercises proposed.
	This work will be gathered in the student's Portfolio.

Personalized attention				
Methodologies	Description			
Workshop	The teacher responsable of each group in the Workshop will guide simultaneously the group work as well as the work of each student assuring the individual adequate progression during the design process. This personalised attention will be extended to the shared Workshop.			

Assessment				
Methodologies	Competencies /	Description	Qualification	
	Results			



Objective test	A34 A37 C1 C3	The objective test will consist on a practical exercise that let the students show in a	20
		synthetic way their abilities and competences acquired after having taken the course.	
		This test can include questions about the theoretical contents of the lectures delivered.	
Workshop	A34 A37 A39 A50	Architectural Design 2 will be taught in the workshop during approximately 30	80
	A53 A55 A56 A57	meetings, of which 15 have shared teaching with teachers from other departments.	
	A63 B1 B2 B3 B6 B10		
	B12 C1 C3 C4 C5 C6	Progressive, continuous and global assessment.	
	C7 C8	Pass conditions are:	
		1. Students are expected to hand in every scheduled piece of work on time. There	
		must be a positive progression in our evaluation of their work.	
		2. Students are expected to attend every workshop session. A minimum of 80%	
		attendance is required.	
		The assessment of the Design Workshop will take into account the student's personal	
		work, supervised by the teacher. The worksop outcome consist on two exercises that	
		will be gathered in the student's Portfolio.	
Document analysis	A34 A37 A53 A55	This work will be gathered in the student's Portfolio and assessed together with the	0
	A56 A57 B6	workshop outcomes.	
Diagramming	A34 A37 A53 A55	This work will be gathered in the student's Portfolio and assessed together with the	0
	A56 B6	workshop outcomes.	
Workbook	A34 A37 B1 B2 B3 B6	This work will be gathered in the student's Portfolio and assessed together with the	0
		workshop outcomes.	

Assessment comments

Pass conditions for the First Opportunity:

1_Minimum class attendance of 80%.

2_All exercises must be handed in on time with a complete proposal, according the subject schedule. Adding or modifying documents of the delivery after the deadline is not allowed when the proposal is clearly unfinished.

3_Taking the objective test. This test is eliminatory, the minimum qualification to pass the subject is apt.

If any of the conditions isnot met, the grade will be "Absent".

If the two first conditions are not met, the Second Opportunity grade willbe "Absent", because the continuous evaluation requirement is not met.

The deliveries cannot be completed between the exams of first and second opportunity.

Grade composition at First Opportunity: -80% Workshop outcome. -20% Objective test.

Grade composition at Second Opportunity: -50% Workshop outcome. -50% Objective test.

Sources of information



Basic	- ALEXANDER, Ch. (1980). Un lenguaje de patrones. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili			
	- ARNHEIM, R. (1979). Arte y percepción visual. Psicología del ojo creador. Madrid: Alianza Editorial			
	- CARERI, F. (2002). Walkscapes. El andar como práctica estética. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili			
	- DESPLAZES, A. (2010). Construir la Arquitectura. Del material en bruto al edificio. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili			
	- HERTZBERGER, H. (1991). Lessons for students in architecture. Rotterdam: 010 Publishers			
	- LE CORBUSIER. (2014). Mensaje a los estudiantes de arquitectura. Buenos Aires: Infinito			
	- McHARG, I. L. (1969). Design with nature. Garden City, Nueva York: Natural History Press			
	- NORBERG-SCHULZ, Ch. (1975). Existencia, espacio y arquitectura. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili			
	- PALLASMA, J. (2014). Los ojos de la piel. La arquitectura y los sentidos. Barcelona, Gustavo Gili			
	- RASMUSSEN, S. E. (2000). La experiencia de la arquitectura. Sobre la percepción de nuestro entorno. Madrid:			
	Celeste			
	- TANIZAKI, J. (2017). El elogio de la sombra. Madrid:Siruela			
	- ZEVI, Bruno (1981). Saber ver la arquitectura. Barcelona: Poseidón			
	- LYNCH, K. (1998). La imagen de la ciudad. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili			
	- MARTÍ ARÍS, C. (1993). Las variaciones sobre la identidad. Barcelona: El Serbal			
	- MONEO, R. (2004). Inquietud teórica y estrategia proyectual. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili			
	- MONTANER, J. M. (2008). Sistemas arquitectónicos contemporáneos. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili			
	- NORBERG-SCHULZ, Ch. (1980). Genius Loci. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili			
	- ROWE, C. (1981). Ciudad collage. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili			
	- SOLÁ-MORALES, M. (1997). Las formas de crecimiento urbano. Barcelona: UPC			
Complementary	-ABALOS, I. (2010). Naturaleza y artificio. Barcelona: Gustavo GiliCORTÉS, J.A. y MONEO, J.R. (1976).			
	Comentarios sobre dibujos de 20 arquitectos actuales. Barcelona: ETSABDE LLANO, P. (2006). Arquitectura			
	popular en Galicia: Razón y construcción. Santiago de Compostela: XeraisGAUSA, M. et. al. (2002). Diccionario			
	Metapolis de Arquitectura Avanzada. Barcelona: ActarKANDINSKY, Wassily (2007). Cursos de la Bauhaus. Madrid:			
	Alianza EditorialKLEE, Paul (1972). Pedagogical sketchbook. Nueva York: Praeger PublishersLENAGHAN, P et al.			
	(2016). Una mirada de antaño: Fotografías de Ruth Matilda Anderson en Galicia. A Coruña: Afundación, The Hispanic			
	Society of AmericaMUNARI, B. (2005). El arte como oficio. Barcelona: Idea BooksMUNTAÑOLA Th., J. (2004).			
	Arquitectura y contexto. Barcelona: UPCOTERO PEDRAYO, R. (2009). Paisaxe e cultura. Vigo: GalaxiaVILLARES,			
	R. (2004). Historia de Galicia. Vigo: GalaxiaALONSO PEREIRA, J.R. (2005). Introducción a la historia de la			
	arquitectura. Barcelona: RevertéBALDELLOU, M.A. (1995). Arquitectura moderna en Galicia. Barcelona: Electa.			

Recommendations		
Subjects that it is recommended to have taken before		
Descriptive Geometry/630G02003		
Introduction to Architecture/630G02005		
Drawing in Architecture/630G02002		
Analysis of Architectural Forms/630G02007		
Construction 1/630G02010		
Architectural Design 1/630G02001		
Architectural Form Geometry/630G02014		
Subjects that are recommended to be taken simultaneously		
Architectural Analysis 1/630G02012		
Subjects that continue the syllabus		
Architectural Design 3/630G02011		
Other comments		



Specific

conditions related to mobility for incoming and outgoing students: Since the subject of Architectural Design 2 pursues a continuous evaluation for all students, including

those who are in outgoing or incoming mobility, the same conditions of

evaluation will be applied for all students. Nevertheless, specific attention will be given to incoming students because of language difficulties or other clear differences between the teaching in the universities of origin and destination.

(*)The teaching guide is the document in which the URV publishes the information about all its courses. It is a public document and cannot be modified. Only in exceptional cases can it be revised by the competent agent or duly revised so that it is in line with current legislation.