		Teaching Guide				
	Identifyir	ng Data			2020/21	
Subject (*)	Architectural Design 3 Code			Code	630G02011	
Study programme	Grao en Estudos de Arquitectura					
		Descriptors				
Cycle	Period	Year		Туре	Credits	
Graduate	2nd four-month period	Second		Obligatory	9	
Language	SpanishGalicianEnglish					
Teaching method	Face-to-face					
Prerequisites						
Department	Proxectos Arquitectónicos, Urbar	nismo e Composición				
Coordinador	Muñoz Fontenla, Luis W		E-mail	I.w.munoz.fontenla@	@udc.es	
Lecturers	Di Felice Vázquez, Mario Francisco		E-mail	m.difelice@udc.es		
	Iglesia Perez, Manuel de la			m.iglesia1@udc.es		
	Lopez Bahut, Maria Emma			emma.lopez.bahut@udc.es		
	Muñoz Fontenla, Luis W I.w.munoz.fon		I.w.munoz.fontenla@	enla@udc.es		
Web		'		<u>'</u>		
General description	In the subject of Architectural Design 3 we select a urban area of Galicia for the placement and development of the					
	exercises. This selection is based on the interest of the place in terms of urban shape, culture and patrimony and also in					
	terms of its appropriateness and adequacy regarding the learning objectives.					
	Two exercises are proposed for the fourth-month period, and they are placed in the same urban area. They are undertaken in sequential phases and both are related between them.					
	One of the basic objectives is teaching the students how to undertake the design process taking into account the place where they are set, reading the urban environment. They must develop specific abilities and strategies for that.					
	Teaching methods are based on ?learning by doing?, confronting the students with specific commitments in particular placements. The complexity of the two exercises proposed during the fourth-month period increases gradually. The aim of that is favouring a progression in their learning capabilities, boosting the students? confidence and stimulation.					
	Generating architectural ideas, formalising them and paying attention to their relationship with the physical environment should make up an essential part of the acquired knowledge.					

Contingency plan

1. Modifications to the contents

The contents of the subject are not modified.

2. Methodologies

*Teaching methodologies that are maintained:

Introductory activities, Document analysis, Workbook and Diagramming.

*Teaching methodologies that are modified:

Guest lecture / keynote speech, Objective Test, Directed Discussion and Workshop: They will be carried out telematically. Field trip: It will adjust to the possibilities.

3. Mechanisms for personalized attention to students

Tools used / Temporalization:

Email / Permanent

Moodle / Permanent

Teams / According to official schedule

4. Modifications in the evaluation

The evaluation conditions are not modified.

*Evaluation observations:

The objective tests will be carried out telematically.

For foreign students, the objective test may be replaced by a supervised work proposed by the teacher.

5. Modifications to the bibliography or webgraphy

There are no modifications.

	Study programme competences / results
Code	Study programme competences / results
A34	Ability to design, implement and develop sketches and drafts, concept designs, developed designs and technical designs (T)
A37	Ability to develop functional programs for buildings and urban spaces (T)
A39	Ability to remove architectural barriers (T)
A50	Adequate knowledge of the methods of studying the processes of symbolization, practical functions and ergonomics
A53	Adequate knowledge of the architectural, urban and landscape traditions of Western culture, as well as their technical, climatic, economic,
	social and ideological foundationsxicos.
A55	Adequate knowledge of the relationship between cultural patterns and social responsibilities of the architect
A56	Adequate knowledge of the foundations of vernacular architecture
A57	Adequate knowledge of urban sociology, theory, economics and history
A63	Development, presentation and public review before a university jury of an original academic work individually elaborated and linked to any
	of the subjects previously studied
B1	Students have demonstrated knowledge and understanding in a field of study that is based on the general secondary education, and is
	usually at a level which, although it is supported by advanced textbooks, includes some aspects that imply knowledge of the forefront of
	their field of study
B2	Students can apply their knowledge to their work or vocation in a professional way and have competences that can be displayed by means
	of elaborating and sustaining arguments and solving problems in their field of study
В3	Students have the ability to gather and interpret relevant data (usually within their field of study) to inform judgements that include
	reflection on relevant social, scientific or ethical issues
В6	Knowing the history and theories of architecture and the arts, technologies and human sciences related to architecture
В9	Understanding the problems of the structural design, construction and engineering associated with building design and technical solutions
B12	Understanding the relationship between people and buildings and between these and their environment, and the need to relate buildings
	and the spaces between them according to the needs and human scale
C1	Adequate oral and written expression in the official languages.

C3	Using ICT in working contexts and lifelong learning.
C4	Exercising an open, educated, critical, committed, democratic and caring citizenship, being able to analyse facts, diagnose problems,
	formulate and implement solutions based on knowledge and solutions for the common good
C5	Understanding the importance of entrepreneurial culture and the useful means for enterprising people.
C6	Critically evaluate the knowledge, technology and information available to solve the problems they must face
C7	Assuming as professionals and citizens the importance of learning throughout life
C8	Valuing the importance of research, innovation and technological development for the socioeconomic and cultural progress of society.

Learning outcomes			
Learning outcomes	Study	y progra	amme
	con	npetenc	es/
		results	
The learning outcome of this subject is the same of the Degree essential and specific aim: the capability of conceiving and	A34	B1	C1
developing preliminary designs, schematic designs, design developments and construction documents.	A37	B2	C3
	A39	В3	C4
In the preparation and development of the course and in the selection of sites and projects, special attention will be paid to	A50	В6	C5
respect for nature and the use of good environmental practices.	A53	В9	C6
	A55	B12	C7
	A56		C8
	A57		
	A63		

	Contents
Topic	Sub-topic
1. UNDERSTANDING/KNOWING A PLACE.	1.1. On site knowledge of the place assigned.
To understand the territory contextually as a support complex	-Place identity.
constructed realities.	
	1.2. Spatial analysis from different perspectives: geographical, landscape, cultural and
	historical.
	-Sources and methods.
	1.3. Personal synthesis of the place.
2. PLACE GRASP.	2.1. Pre-existing elements.
Relations between context and architecture.	
Relations between urban and natural enviroment.	2.2. Scale as a resource.
	-Sense of scale in the natural field.
	2.3. Community space and private space. Spatial categories.



3. TO DESIGN A PLACE.	3.1 Objectives.
The experience of inhabiting of public and private urban	-Design of elements according to the natural-rural environment.
space.	-Determining factors in design: preexisting natural/artificial elements, landscape, place
	structure (topography, climate, scale, tectonic materiality, roads, etc.).
	3.2 Architectural space, indoor and outdoor.
	-Grids, textures, limits, proportions, modulations, rhythms, forms, scales, haptic
	perceptions, natural light.
	3.3. Commitments.
	-Space as social set.
	-Space as functional set.
4. PROCESSES.	4.1 Development of the architectural design through sketches, outlines, diagrams,
Development of a set of tools for the implementation of the	plans, models.
architectural design.	-Ideation through articulation.
	-Formalisation as a way of concretion.

	Planning	g		
Methodologies / tests	Competencies /	Teaching hours	Student?s personal	Total hours
	Results	(in-person & virtual)	work hours	
Introductory activities	A34 A37 A53 A55	1	0	1
	A56 A57 B3 B12 C4			
Guest lecture / keynote speech	A34 A37 A39 A50	10	0	10
	A55 A56 A57 B9 B12			
	C8			
Objective test	A34 A37 A39 A50	4	0	4
	A53 A55 A56 A57			
Directed discussion	A34 A37 C1 C6	6	0	6
Field trip	A34 A37 A55 A56	4	0	4
	A57 B12 C4 C8			
Workshop	A34 A37 A39 A50	50	117	167
	A53 A55 A56 A57			
	A63 B1 B2 B3 B6 B9			
	B12 C1 C3 C4 C5 C6			
	C7 C8			
Document analysis	A34 A37 A53 A55	0	6	6
	A56 A57 B6			
Diagramming	A34 A37 B1 B2 B3 B6	0	6	6
Workbook	A53 A55 A56 A57 B6	0	6	6
Personalized attention		15	0	15

	Methodologies		
Methodologies	Description		
Introductory activities	es Getting to the place where all the exercises will be developed, explaining the commitments and objectives of each of them		
	during the four-month period.		

Guest lecture /	Oral presentation, with multimedia support, of the theoretical contents of the subject, with the aim of transferring knowledge,
keynote speech	promoting its development and facilitating the student the elaboration of the specific work of the Workshop. Stimulating their
	autonomous learning.
Objective test	The student will develop in the classroom, a design exercise that must show in a synthetic way the abilities and competences
	acquired, regarding issues related to the themes and places undertaken in the course exercises.
	This test may include questions on the topics covered in the Master Classes.
Directed discussion	Group dynamics technique in which group members discuss in a free, informal and spontaneous way about an issue, but may
	be conducted by the professor.
Field trip	Visiting the place where the designs will be set. The aim are facilitating direct and systematic observation of the site, gathering
	information, data, sketches, analysis, etc.
Workshop	The workshop is the main teaching method in this subject. Different processes can be applied, such as individual and group
	tasks, discussions, assessments, personal advice, and so on.
	In the workshop, the students will develop the exercises formulated, under the teacher's support and supervision.
	Collaborative learning: The group is divided in smaller ones, where the students and the teacher work together to solve the
	tasks needed. That is the way of gathering and sharing the biggest amount of information possible. This includes data
	gathering, on site measurements, infographic treatment of documents, site analysis, example searching, construction of scale
	models representing the environment, and so forth.
	Complementary lectures will be given, delivering theoretical support for each of the design stages. This will help the students
	to find the more adequate solution for the exercises and to develop and materialise them.
	The individual and collective outcomes of the workshop will be collected in a Portfolio.
Document analysis	It is the collection and processing of data coming from the theoretical lectures as well as the bibliographic and documental
	searches.
	This work will be gathered in the student's Portfolio.
Diagramming	Synthesis between the main contents and the personal reflections regarding architectural design: graphic data, images,
	drawings, sketches, bibliography and notes related to the site. The design process will be shown. work as well as to the
	development of each one of the exercises proposed.
	This work will be gathered in the student's Portfolio.
Workbook	Readings undertaken from a critical attitude, both of references given by the teachers and the ones proposed by the student
	as well. Summaries and notes showing the fundamental contents and main ideas.
	This work will be gathered in the student's Portfolio.
	The new miles gained in the state in a restate in a resta

	Personalized attention		
Methodologies	Description		
Workshop	The teacher responsable of each group in the Workshop will guide simultaneously the group work as well as the work of each		
student assuring the individual adequate progression during the design process.			
	This personalised attention will be extended to the shared Workshop.		

		Assessment	
Methodologies	Competencies /	Description	Qualification
	Results		

Norkshop	A34 A37 A39 A50	Architectural Design 3 will be taught in the workshop during approximately 30	80
	A53 A55 A56 A57	meetings, of which 15 have shared teaching with teachers from other departments.	
	A63 B1 B2 B3 B6 B9		
	B12 C1 C3 C4 C5 C6	Progressive, continuous and global assessment.	
	C7 C8	Pass conditions are:	
		Students are expected to hand in every scheduled piece of work on time. There	
		must be a positive progression in our evaluation of their work.	
		2. Students are expected to attend every workshop session. A minimum of 80%	
		attendance is required.	
		The assessment of the Design Workshop will take into account the student's personal	
		work, supervised by the teacher. The worksop outcome consist on two exercises that	
		will be gathered in the student's Portfolio.	
Objective test	A34 A37 A39 A50	The objective test will consist on a practical exercise that let the students show in a	20
	A53 A55 A56 A57	synthetic way their abilities and competences acquired after having taken the course.	
		This test can include questions about the theoretical contents of the lectures delivered.	
Document analysis	A34 A37 A53 A55	This work will be gathered in the student's Portfolio and assessed together with the	0
	A56 A57 B6	workshop outcomes.	
Diagramming	A34 A37 B1 B2 B3 B6	This work will be gathered in the student's Portfolio and assessed together with the	0
		workshop outcomes.	
Vorkbook	A53 A55 A56 A57 B6	This work will be gathered in the student's Portfolio and assessed together with the	0
		workshop outcomes.	

Assessment comments

Pass conditions for the First Opportunity:

- 1_Minimum class attendance of 80%.
- 2_All exercises must be handed in on time with a complete proposal, according the subject schedule. Adding or modifying documents of the delivery after the deadline is not allowed when the proposal is clearly unfinished.
- 3_Taking the objective test. This test is eliminatory, the minimum qualification to pass the subject is apt.

If any of the conditions isnot met, the grade will be "Absent".

If the two first conditions are not met, the Second Opportunity grade will be "Absent", because the continuous evaluation requirement is not met.

The deliveries cannot be completed between the exams of first and second opportunity.

Grade composition at First Opportunity: -80% Workshop outcome. -20% Objective test.

Grade composition at Second Opportunity: -50% Workshop outcome. -50% Objective test.

Sources of information

Basic

- ALEXANDER, Ch. (1980). Un lenguaje de patrones.. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili.
- ARNHEIM, R. (1979). Arte y percepción visual. Psicología del ojo creador.. Madrid: Alianza Editorial.
- CARERI, F. (2002). Walkscapes. El andar como práctica estética.. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili.
- DESPLAZES, A. (2010). Construir la Arquitectura: Del material en bruto al edificio. Un manual.. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili
- HERTZBERGER, H. (1991). Lessons for students in architecture.. Rotterdam: 010 Publishers.
- LE CORBUSIER. (2014). Mensaje a los estudiantes de arquitectura.. Buenos Aires: Infinito.
- LYNCH, K. (1998). La imagen de la ciudad.. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili.
- MARTÍ ARÍS, C. (1993). Las variaciones sobre la identidad.. Barcelona: El Serbal.
- McHARG, I. L. (1969). Design with nature.. Garden City, N.Y.: Natural History Press.
- MONEO, R. (2004). Inquietud teórica y estrategia proyectual.. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili.
- MONTANER, J. M. (2008). Sistemas arquitectónicos contemporáneos.. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili.
- NORBERG-SCHULZ, Ch. (1975). Existencia, espacio y arquitectura.. Barcelona: Blume.
- NORBERG-SCHULZ, Ch. (1980). Genius Loci.. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili.
- PALLASMA, J. (2014). Los ojos de la piel. La arquitectura y los sentidos.. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili.
- RASMUSSEN, S.E. (2000). La experiencia de la arquitectura. Sobre la percepción de nuestro entorno.. Madrid: Celeste.
- ROWE, C. (1981). Ciudad collage.. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili.
- SOLÁ-MORALES, M. (1997). Las formas de crecimiento urbano.. Barcelona: UPC.
- TANIZAKI, J. (2017). El elogio de la sombra.. Madrid: Siruela.
- ZEVI, B. (1981). Saber ver la arquitectura.. Barcelona: Poseidón.

Complementary

-ABALOS, I. (2010). Naturaleza y artificio. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili.-CORTÉS, J.A. y MONEO, J.R. (1976). Comentarios sobre dibujos de 20 arquitectos actuales. Barcelona: ETSAB.-DE LLANO, P. (2006). Arquitectura popular en Galicia: Razón y construcción. Santiago de Compostela: Xerais.-GAUSA, M. et. al. (2002). Diccionario Metapolis de Arquitectura Avanzada. Barcelona: Actar.-KANDINSKY, Wassily (2007). Cursos de la Bauhaus. Madrid: Alianza Editorial.-KLEE, Paul (1972). Pedagogical sketchbook. Nueva York: Praeger Publishers.-LENAGHAN, P et al. (2016). Una mirada de antaño: Fotografías de Ruth Matilda Anderson en Galicia. A Coruña: Afundación, The Hispanic Society of America.-MUNARI, B. (2005). El arte como oficio. Barcelona: Idea Books.-MUNTAÑOLA Th., J. (2004). Arquitectura y contexto. Barcelona: UPC.-OTERO PEDRAYO, R. (2009). Paisaxe e cultura. Vigo: Galaxia.-VILLARES, R. (2004). Historia de Galicia. Vigo: Galaxia.-ALONSO PEREIRA, J.R. (2005). Introducción a la historia de la arquitectura. Barcelona: Reverté.-BALDELLOU, M.A. (1995). Arquitectura moderna en Galicia. Barcelona: Electa.

Architectural Design 4/030G02010	
Architectural Design 4/630G02016	
	Subjects that continue the syllabus
Architectural Analysis 2/630G02017	
Jrbanism 1/630G02018	
	Subjects that are recommended to be taken simultaneously
Architectural Design 1/630G02001	
Architectural Design 2/630G02006	
Architectural Analysis 1/630G02012	
	Subjects that it is recommended to have taken before
	Recommendations

Specific conditions related to mobility for incoming and outgoing students: Since the subject of Architectural Design 3 pursues a continuous evaluation for all students, including those who are in outgoing or incoming mobility, the same conditions of evaluation will be applied for all students.

Nevertheless, specific attention will be given to incoming students because of language difficulties or other clear differences between the teaching in the universities of origin and destination.



(*)The teaching guide is the document in which the URV publishes the information about all its courses. It is a public document and cannot be modified. Only in exceptional cases can it be revised by the competent agent or duly revised so that it is in line with current legislation.