

| | | Teaching Guid | е | | | |
|---------------------|---|------------------------|-------------|------------------------------|-----------------------------|--|
| | Identifying Data | | | | 2022/23 | |
| Subject (*) | Architectural Design 2 Code | | | 630G02006 | | |
| Study programme | Grao en Estudos de Arquitectura | | | | | |
| | | Descriptors | | | | |
| Cycle | Period | Year | | Туре | Credits | |
| Graduate | 1st four-month period | Second | | Obligatory | 6 | |
| Language | SpanishGalicianEnglish | | | | | |
| Teaching method | Face-to-face | | | | | |
| Prerequisites | | | | | | |
| Department | Proxectos Arquitectónicos, Urbar | nismo e Composición | | | | |
| Coordinador | Muñoz Fontenla, Luis W | | E-mail | I.w.munoz.fontenla | @udc.es | |
| Lecturers | Di Felice Vázquez, Mario Francisco | | E-mail | m.difelice@udc.es | | |
| | Lopez Bahut, Maria Emma | | | emma.lopez.bahut@ | Dudc.es | |
| | Muñoz Fontenla, Luis W | | | I.w.munoz.fontenla | @udc.es | |
| | Vazquez Diaz, Sonia | | | sonia.vazquez.diaz@udc.es | | |
| Web | | | | | | |
| General description | In the subject of Architectural De | sign 2 we select a geo | graphical a | rea of Galicia for the place | ment and development of the | |
| | exercises. This selection is based on the interest of the place in terms of landscape, culture and patrimony and also in | | | | | |
| | terms of its appropriateness and | adequacy regarding th | e learning | objectives. | | |
| | Two exercises are proposed for the fourth-month period, and they are placed in the same geographical area. They are | | | | | |
| | undertaken in sequential phases and both are related between them. One of the basic objectives is teaching the students | | | | | |
| | how to undertake the design process taking into account the place where they are set, reading the natural/rural physical | | | | | |
| | environment. They must develop specific abilities and strategies for that. | | | | | |
| | Teaching methods are based on ?learning by doing?, confronting the students with specific commitments in particular | | | | | |
| | placements. The difficulty of the two exercises proposed during the fourth-month period increases gradually. The aim of | | | | | |
| | that is favouring a progression in their learning capabilities, boosting the students? confidence and stimulation. | | | | | |
| | Generating architectural ideas, formalising them and paying attention to their relationship with the physical environment | | | | | |
| | should make up an essential part of the acquired knowledge. | | | | | |

| Study programme competences / results |
|---|
| Study programme competences / results |
| Ability to design, implement and develop sketches and drafts, concept designs, developed designs and technical designs (T) |
| Ability to develop functional programs for buildings and urban spaces (T) |
| Ability to remove architectural barriers (T) |
| Adequate knowledge of the methods of studying the processes of symbolization, practical functions and ergonomics |
| Adequate knowledge of the architectural, urban and landscape traditions of Western culture, as well as their technical, climatic, economic, |
| social and ideological foundationsxicos. |
| Adequate knowledge of the relationship between cultural patterns and social responsibilities of the architect |
| Adequate knowledge of the foundations of vernacular architecture |
| Adequate knowledge of urban sociology, theory, economics and history |
| Development, presentation and public review before a university jury of an original academic work individually elaborated and linked to any |
| of the subjects previously studied |
| Students have demonstrated knowledge and understanding in a field of study that is based on the general secondary education, and is |
| usually at a level which, although it is supported by advanced textbooks, includes some aspects that imply knowledge of the forefront of |
| their field of study |
| |



| B2 | Students can apply their knowledge to their work or vocation in a professional way and have competences that can be displayed by means |
|-----|---|
| | of elaborating and sustaining arguments and solving problems in their field of study |
| B3 | Students have the ability to gather and interpret relevant data (usually within their field of study) to inform judgements that include |
| | reflection on relevant social, scientific or ethical issues |
| B6 | Knowing the history and theories of architecture and the arts, technologies and human sciences related to architecture |
| B10 | Knowing the physical problems, various technologies and function of buildings so as to provide them with internal conditions of comfort |
| | and protection against the climate factors in the context of sustainable development |
| B12 | Understanding the relationship between people and buildings and between these and their environment, and the need to relate buildings |
| | and the spaces between them according to the needs and human scale |
| C1 | Adequate oral and written expression in the official languages. |
| C3 | Using ICT in working contexts and lifelong learning. |
| C4 | Exercising an open, educated, critical, committed, democratic and caring citizenship, being able to analyse facts, diagnose problems, |
| | formulate and implement solutions based on knowledge and solutions for the common good |
| C5 | Understanding the importance of entrepreneurial culture and the useful means for enterprising people. |
| C6 | Critically evaluate the knowledge, technology and information available to solve the problems they must face |
| C7 | Assuming as professionals and citizens the importance of learning throughout life |
| C8 | Valuing the importance of research, innovation and technological development for the socioeconomic and cultural progress of society. |
| | |

| Learning outcomes | | | |
|--|-----------------|---------|-----|
| Learning outcomes | Study programme | | |
| | con | npetenc | es/ |
| | | results | |
| The learning outcome of this subject is the same of the Degree essential and specific aim: the capability of conceiving and | A34 | B1 | C1 |
| developing preliminary designs, schematic designs, design developments and construction documents. | | B2 | C3 |
| | A39 | B3 | C4 |
| In the preparation and development of the course and in the selection of sites and projects, special attention will be paid to | A50 | B6 | C5 |
| respect for nature and the use of good environmental practices. | A53 | B10 | C6 |
| | A55 | B12 | C7 |
| | A56 | | C8 |
| | A57 | | |
| | A63 | | |

| | Contents |
|--|--|
| Торіс | Sub-topic |
| 1. UNDERSTANDING/KNOWING A PLACE. | 1.1. On site knowledge of the place assigned. |
| To understand the territory contextually as a complex medium | -Place identity. |
| of natural and anthropological realities. | |
| | 1.2. Spatial analysis from different perspectives: geographical, landscape, cultural and |
| | historical. |
| | -Sources and methods. |
| | |
| | 1.3. Personal synthesis of the place. |
| 2. PLACE GRASP. | 2.1. Pre-existing elements. |
| Relations between place and architecture. | |
| Natural environment: Natural field / Landscape unit. | 2.2. Scale as a resource. |
| Natural field / Manmade environment of rural settlements. | -Sense of scale in the natural field. |
| | |
| | 2.3. Community space and private space. Spatial categories. |



| 3. TO DESIGN A PLACE. | 3.1 Objectives. |
|---|---|
| The experience of inhabiting and living a space with a | -Design of elements according to the natural-rural environment. |
| character half natural, half rural. | -Determining factors in design: preexisting natural/artificial elements, landscape, place |
| | structure (topography, climate, scale, tectonic materiality, roads, etc.). |
| | 3.2 Architectural space, indoor and outdoor. |
| | -Grids, textures, limits, proportions, modulations, rhythms, forms, scales, haptic |
| | perceptions, natural light. |
| | 3.3. Commitments. |
| | -Space as social set. |
| | -Space as functional set. |
| 4. PROCESSES. | 4.1 Development of the architectural design through sketches, outlines, diagrams, |
| Development of a set of tools for the implementation of the | plans, models. |
| architectural design. | -Ideation through articulation. |
| | -Formalisation as a way of concretion. |
| | |
| | |

| | Planning | g | | |
|--------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Methodologies / tests | Competencies / | Teaching hours | Student?s personal | Total hours |
| | Results | (in-person & virtual) | work hours | |
| Introductory activities | A34 A37 A53 A55 | 1 | 0 | 1 |
| | A56 A57 B3 B12 C4 | | | |
| Guest lecture / keynote speech | A34 A37 A39 A50 | 9 | 0 | 9 |
| | A55 A56 A57 B6 B10 | | | |
| | B12 C8 | | | |
| Objective test | A34 A37 C1 C3 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Directed discussion | A34 A37 C1 C6 | 6 | 0 | 6 |
| Field trip | A34 A37 A55 A56 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| | A57 B12 C4 C8 | | | |
| Workshop | A34 A37 A39 A50 | 24 | 70 | 94 |
| | A53 A55 A56 A57 | | | |
| | A63 B1 B2 B3 B6 B10 | | | |
| | B12 C1 C3 C4 C5 C6 | | | |
| | C7 C8 | | | |
| Document analysis | A34 A37 A53 A55 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| | A56 A57 B6 | | | |
| Workbook | A34 A37 B1 B2 B3 B6 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| Diagramming | A34 A37 A53 A55 | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| | A56 B6 | | | |
| Events academic / information | A34 A63 C7 C8 | 2 | 2 | 4 |
| Personalized attention | | 10 | 0 | 10 |

| Methodologies | | |
|-------------------------|---|--|
| Methodologies | Description | |
| Introductory activities | Getting to the place where all the exercises will be developed, explaining the commitments and objectives of each of them | |
| | during the four-month period. | |



| Guest lecture / | Oral presentation, with multimedia support, of the theoretical contents of the subject, with the aim of transferring knowledge, |
|---------------------|---|
| keynote speech | promoting its development and facilitating the student the elaboration of the specific work of the Workshop. Stimulating their autonomous learning. |
| Objective test | Development in the classroom of a practice that demonstrates in a synthetic way the skills and competences acquired by the student around the topics covered in the course exercises. |
| | This test may include questions on the topics covered in the Master Classes. |
| Directed discussion | Group dynamics technique in which group members discuss in a free, informal and spontaneous way about an issue, but may be conducted by the professor. |
| Field trip | Visiting the place where the designs will be set. The aim are facilitating direct and systematic observation of the site, gathering information, data, sketches, analysis, etc. |
| Workshop | The workshop is the main teaching method in this subject. Different processes can be applied, such as individual and group tasks, discussions, assessments, personal advice, and so on. |
| | In the workshop, the students will develop the exercises formulated, under the teacher's support and supervision. |
| | Collaborative learning: The group is divided in smaller ones, where the students and the teacher work together to solve the tasks needed. That is the way of gathering and sharing the biggest amount of information possible. This includes data |
| | gathering, on site measurements, infographic treatment of documents, site analysis, example searching, construction of scale models representing the environment, and so forth. |
| | Complementary lectures will be given, delivering theoretical support for each of the design stages. This will help the students |
| | to find the more adequate solution for the exercises and to develop and materialise them. |
| | The individual and collective outcomes of the workshop will be collected in a Portfolio. |
| Document analysis | It is the collection and processing of data coming from the theoretical lectures as well as the bibliographic and documental searches. |
| | This work will be gathered in the student's Portfolio. |
| Workbook | Readings undertaken from a critical attitude, both of references given by the teachers and the ones proposed by the student as well. Summaries and notes showing the fundamental contents and main ideas. |
| | This work will be gathered in the student's Portfolio. |
| Diagramming | Synthesis between the main contents and the personal reflections regarding architectural design: graphic data, images, |
| | drawings, sketches, bibliography and notes related to the site. The design process will be shown. work as well as to the development of each one of the exercises proposed. |
| | This work will be gathered in the student's Portfolio. |
| Events academic / | Attendance and/or participation in scientific and/or informative events (congresses, conferences, symposiums, courses, |
| information | seminars, conferences, exhibitions, etc.) indicated by the teaching staff of the subject as part of the teaching content of the course with the aim of delving into knowledge of study topics related to the subject. |
| | Preparation of material synthesis of the work carried out in the matter for its publication or public exhibition. |

| Personalized attention | | |
|------------------------|-------------|--|
| Methodologies | Description | |



| Workshop | The teacher responsable of each group in the Workshop will guide simultaneously the group work as well as the work of each |
|----------|--|
| | student assuring the individual adequate progression during the design process. |
| | |
| | This personalised attention will be extended to the shared Workshop. |
| | |

| | | Assessment | 1 |
|-------------------------------|---------------------------|---|---------------|
| Methodologies | Competencies / Results | Description | Qualification |
| Objective test | A34 A37 C1 C3 | The objective test will consist on a practical exercise that let the students show in a | 20 |
| Objective test | A34 A37 CT C3 | synthetic way their abilities and competences acquired after having taken the course. | 20 |
| | | | |
| | | This test can include questions about the theoretical contents of the lectures delivered. | |
| Workshop | A34 A37 A39 A50 | Architectural Design 2 will be taught in the workshop during approximately 30 | 80 |
| | A53 A55 A56 A57 | meetings, of which 15 have shared teaching with teachers from other departments. | |
| | A63 B1 B2 B3 B6 B10 | | |
| | B12 C1 C3 C4 C5 C6 | Progressive, continuous and global assessment. | |
| | C7 C8 | Pass conditions are: | |
| | | 1. Students are expected to hand in every scheduled piece of work on time. There | |
| | | must be a positive progression in our evaluation of their work. | |
| | | 2. Students are expected to attend every workshop session. A minimum of 80% | |
| | | attendance is required. | |
| | | The assessment of the Design Workshop will take into account the student's personal | |
| | | work, supervised by the teacher. The worksop outcome consist on two exercises that | |
| | | will be gathered in the student's Portfolio. | |
| Document analysis | A34 A37 A53 A55 | This work will be gathered in the student's Portfolio and assessed together with the | 0 |
| | A56 A57 B6 | workshop outcomes. | |
| Diagramming | A34 A37 A53 A55 | This work will be gathered in the student's Portfolio and assessed together with the | 0 |
| | A56 B6 | workshop outcomes. | |
| Workbook | A34 A37 B1 B2 B3 B6 | This work will be gathered in the student's Portfolio and assessed together with the workshop outcomes. | 0 |
| Events academic / information | A34 A63 C7 C8 | This work will be assessed together with the workshop outcomes. | 0 |

Assessment comments



Pass conditions for the First Opportunity:

1_Minimum class attendance of 80% (except for students with part-time dedication).

2_All exercises must be handed in on time with a complete proposal, according the subject schedule. Adding or modifying documents of the delivery

after the deadline is not allowed when the proposal is clearly unfinished.

3_Taking the objective test.

If any of the conditions is not met, thegrade will be "Absent".

If the two first conditions are not met, the Second Opportunity grade willbe "Absent", because the continuous evaluation requirement is not met.

The test is eliminatory, the minimum qualification to pass the subject is apt.

The deliveries cannot be completed between the exams of first and second opportunity.

Grade composition at First Opportunity: -80% Workshop outcome. -20% Objective test.

Grade composition at Second Opportunity: The objective test may weigh up to 50% of the grade as long as it benefits the student.

Dedication measures for

part-time students: they are not contemplated, because it is a matter in which the workshop is the fundamental methodology.

Academic exemption: it is not contemplated, as it is a subject in which the workshop is the fundamental methodology.

Apart from other academic and legal consequences, plagiarism will result in a grade of zero in the subject.

| | Sources of information |
|-------|---|
| Basic | - ALEXANDER, Ch. (1980). Un lenguaje de patrones. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili |
| | - ARNHEIM, R. (1979). Arte y percepción visual. Psicología del ojo creador. Madrid: Alianza Editorial |
| | - CARERI, F. (2002). Walkscapes. El andar como práctica estética. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili |
| | - DESPLAZES, A. (2010). Construir la Arquitectura. Del material en bruto al edificio. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili |
| | - HERTZBERGER, H. (1991). Lessons for students in architecture. Rotterdam: 010 Publishers |
| | - LE CORBUSIER. (2014). Mensaje a los estudiantes de arquitectura. Buenos Aires: Infinito |
| | - McHARG, I. L. (1969). Design with nature. Garden City, Nueva York: Natural History Press |
| | - NORBERG-SCHULZ, Ch. (1975). Existencia, espacio y arquitectura. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili |
| | - PALLASMA, J. (2014). Los ojos de la piel. La arquitectura y los sentidos. Barcelona, Gustavo Gili |
| | - RASMUSSEN, S. E. (2000). La experiencia de la arquitectura. Sobre la percepción de nuestro entorno. Madrid: |
| | Celeste |
| | - TANIZAKI, J. (2017). El elogio de la sombra. Madrid:Siruela |
| | - ZEVI, Bruno (1981). Saber ver la arquitectura. Barcelona: Poseidón |
| | - LYNCH, K. (1998). La imagen de la ciudad. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili |
| | - MARTÍ ARÍS, C. (1993). Las variaciones sobre la identidad. Barcelona: El Serbal |
| | - MONEO, R. (2004). Inquietud teórica y estrategia proyectual. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili |
| | - MONTANER, J. M. (2008). Sistemas arquitectónicos contemporáneos. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili |
| | - NORBERG-SCHULZ, Ch. (1980). Genius Loci. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili |
| | - ROWE, C. (1981). Ciudad collage. Barcelona: Gustavo Gili |
| | - SOLÁ-MORALES, M. (1997). Las formas de crecimiento urbano. Barcelona: UPC |



| Complementary | -ABALOS, I. (2010). Naturaleza y artificio. Barcelona: Gustavo GiliCORTÉS, J.A. y MONEO, J.R. (1976). |
|---------------|---|
| | Comentarios sobre dibujos de 20 arquitectos actuales. Barcelona: ETSABDE LLANO, P. (2006). Arquitectura |
| | popular en Galicia: Razón y construcción. Santiago de Compostela: XeraisGAUSA, M. et. al. (2002). Diccionario |
| | Metapolis de Arquitectura Avanzada. Barcelona: ActarKANDINSKY, Wassily (2007). Cursos de la Bauhaus. Madrid: |
| | Alianza EditorialKLEE, Paul (1972). Pedagogical sketchbook. Nueva York: Praeger PublishersLENAGHAN, P et al. |
| | (2016). Una mirada de antaño: Fotografías de Ruth Matilda Anderson en Galicia. A Coruña: Afundación, The Hispanic |
| | Society of AmericaMUNARI, B. (2005). El arte como oficio. Barcelona: Idea BooksMUNTAÑOLA Th., J. (2004). |
| | Arquitectura y contexto. Barcelona: UPCOTERO PEDRAYO, R. (2009). Paisaxe e cultura. Vigo: GalaxiaVILLARES, |
| | R. (2004). Historia de Galicia. Vigo: Galaxia. |

| Decem | mandationa |
|-------|------------|
| Recom | mendations |

| Recommendations | |
|---|-----|
| Subjects that it is recommended to have taken before | |
| criptive Geometry/630G02003 | |
| duction to Architecture/630G02005 | |
| ving in Architecture/630G02002 | |
| ysis of Architectural Forms/630G02007 | |
| struction 1/630G02010 | |
| itectural Design 1/630G02001 | |
| nitectural Form Geometry/630G02014 | |
| Subjects that are recommended to be taken simultaneously | |
| nitectural Analysis 1/630G02012 | |
| Subjects that continue the syllabus | |
| itectural Design 3/630G02011 | |
| Other comments | |
| zific | |
| itions related to mobility for incoming and outgoing students: Since the subject of Architectural Design 2 pursues a continuous evaluation fo | all |
| ents, including | |
| | |

those who are in outgoing or incoming mobility, the same conditions of

evaluation will be applied for all students. Nevertheless, specific attention will be given to incoming students because of language difficulties or other clear differences between the teaching in the universities of origin and destination.

(*)The teaching guide is the document in which the URV publishes the information about all its courses. It is a public document and cannot be modified. Only in exceptional cases can it be revised by the competent agent or duly revised so that it is in line with current legislation.