

		Teaching Guide			
Identifying Data					2022/23
Subject (*)	Architectural Design 3 Code			630G02011	
Study programme	Grao en Estudos de Arquitectura				
		Descriptors			
Cycle	Period	Year		Туре	Credits
Graduate	2nd four-month period	Second		Obligatory	9
Language	SpanishGalicianEnglish				
Teaching method	Face-to-face				
Prerequisites					
Department	Proxectos Arquitectónicos, Urban	ismo e Composición			
Coordinador	Muñoz Fontenla, Luis W		E-mail	I.w.munoz.fontenla	@udc.es
Lecturers	Di Felice Vázquez, Mario Francis	со	E-mail	m.difelice@udc.es	
	Lopez Bahut, Maria Emma emma.lopez.bahu		it@udc.es		
	Martínez González, Carlos			c.martinez.gonzalez@udc.es	
	Muñoz Fontenla, Luis W			I.w.munoz.fontenla	@udc.es
Web					
General description	In the subject of Architectural Design 3 we select a urban area of Galicia for the placement and development of the				
	exercises. This selection is based on the interest of the place in terms of urban shape, culture and patrimony and also in				
	terms of its appropriateness and adequacy regarding the learning objectives.				
	Two exercises are proposed for the fourth-month period, and they are placed in the same urban area. They are undertaken in sequential phases and both are related between them.				
	One of the basic objectives is teaching the students how to undertake the design process taking into account the place where they are set, reading the urban environment. They must develop specific abilities and strategies for that.				
	Teaching methods are based on ?learning by doing?, confronting the students with specific commitments in particular placements. The complexity of the two exercises proposed during the fourth-month period increases gradually. The aim of that is favouring a progression in their learning capabilities, boosting the students? confidence and stimulation. Generating architectural ideas, formalising them and paying attention to their relationship with the physical environment should make up an essential part of the acquired knowledge.				

	Study programme competences / results
Code	Study programme competences / results
A34	Ability to design, implement and develop sketches and drafts, concept designs, developed designs and technical designs (T)
A37	Ability to develop functional programs for buildings and urban spaces (T)
A39	Ability to remove architectural barriers (T)
A50	Adequate knowledge of the methods of studying the processes of symbolization, practical functions and ergonomics
A53	Adequate knowledge of the architectural, urban and landscape traditions of Western culture, as well as their technical, climatic, economic,
	social and ideological foundationsxicos.
A55	Adequate knowledge of the relationship between cultural patterns and social responsibilities of the architect
A56	Adequate knowledge of the foundations of vernacular architecture
A57	Adequate knowledge of urban sociology, theory, economics and history
A63	Development, presentation and public review before a university jury of an original academic work individually elaborated and linked to any
	of the subjects previously studied
B1	Students have demonstrated knowledge and understanding in a field of study that is based on the general secondary education, and is
	usually at a level which, although it is supported by advanced textbooks, includes some aspects that imply knowledge of the forefront of
	their field of study



B2	Students can apply their knowledge to their work or vocation in a professional way and have competences that can be displayed by means
	of elaborating and sustaining arguments and solving problems in their field of study
B3	Students have the ability to gather and interpret relevant data (usually within their field of study) to inform judgements that include
	reflection on relevant social, scientific or ethical issues
B6	Knowing the history and theories of architecture and the arts, technologies and human sciences related to architecture
B9	Understanding the problems of the structural design, construction and engineering associated with building design and technical solutions
B12	Understanding the relationship between people and buildings and between these and their environment, and the need to relate buildings
	and the spaces between them according to the needs and human scale
C1	Adequate oral and written expression in the official languages.
C3	Using ICT in working contexts and lifelong learning.
C4	Exercising an open, educated, critical, committed, democratic and caring citizenship, being able to analyse facts, diagnose problems,
	formulate and implement solutions based on knowledge and solutions for the common good
C5	Understanding the importance of entrepreneurial culture and the useful means for enterprising people.
C6	Critically evaluate the knowledge, technology and information available to solve the problems they must face
C7	Assuming as professionals and citizens the importance of learning throughout life
C8	Valuing the importance of research, innovation and technological development for the socioeconomic and cultural progress of society.

Learning outcomes			
Learning outcomes	Study programme		
	con	npetenc	es/
		results	
The learning outcome of this subject is the same of the Degree essential and specific aim: the capability of conceiving and	A34	B1	C1
developing preliminary designs, schematic designs, design developments and construction documents.	A37	B2	C3
	A39	B3	C4
In the preparation and development of the course and in the selection of sites and projects, special attention will be paid to	A50	B6	C5
spect for nature and the use of good environmental practices.		B9	C6
	A55	B12	C7
	A56		C8
	A57		
	A63		

Contents			
Торіс	Sub-topic		
1. UNDERSTANDING/KNOWING A PLACE.	1.1. On site knowledge of the place assigned.		
To understand the territory contextually as a support complex	-Place identity.		
constructed realities.			
	1.2. Spatial analysis from different perspectives: geographical, landscape, cultural and		
	historical.		
	-Sources and methods.		
	1.3. Personal synthesis of the place.		
2. PLACE GRASP.	2.1. Pre-existing elements.		
Relations between context and architecture.			
Relations between urban and natural enviroment.	2.2. Scale as a resource.		
	-Sense of scale in the natural field.		
	2.3. Community space and private space. Spatial categories.		



3. TO DESIGN A PLACE.	3.1 Objectives.
The experience of inhabiting of public and private urban	-Design of elements according to the natural-rural environment.
space.	-Determining factors in design: preexisting natural/artificial elements, landscape, place
	structure (topography, climate, scale, tectonic materiality, roads, etc.).
	3.2 Architectural space, indoor and outdoor.
	-Grids, textures, limits, proportions, modulations, rhythms, forms, scales, haptic
	perceptions, natural light.
	3.3. Commitments.
	-Space as social set.
	-Space as functional set.
4. PROCESSES.	4.1 Development of the architectural design through sketches, outlines, diagrams,
Development of a set of tools for the implementation of the	plans, models.
architectural design.	-Ideation through articulation.
	-Formalisation as a way of concretion.

	Planning	g		
Methodologies / tests	Competencies /	Teaching hours	Student?s personal	Total hours
	Results	(in-person & virtual)	work hours	
Introductory activities	A34 A37 A53 A55	1	0	1
	A56 A57 B3 B12 C4			
Guest lecture / keynote speech	A34 A37 A39 A50	9	0	9
	A55 A56 A57 B9 B12			
	C8			
Objective test	A34 A37 A39 A50	4	0	4
	A53 A55 A56 A57			
Directed discussion	A34 A37 C1 C6	6	0	6
Field trip	A34 A37 A55 A56	4	0	4
	A57 B12 C4 C8			
Workshop	A34 A37 A39 A50	48	114	162
	A53 A55 A56 A57			
	A63 B1 B2 B3 B6 B9			
	B12 C1 C3 C4 C5 C6			
	C7 C8			
Document analysis	A34 A37 A53 A55	0	6	6
	A56 A57 B6			
Diagramming	A34 A37 B1 B2 B3 B6	0	6	6
Workbook	A53 A55 A56 A57 B6	0	6	6
Events academic / information	A34 A63 C7 C8	3	3	6
Personalized attention		15	0	15

Methodologies			
Methodologies	Methodologies Description		
Introductory activities	Getting to the place where all the exercises will be developed, explaining the commitments and objectives of each of them		
	during the four-month period.		



Guest lecture /	Oral presentation, with multimedia support, of the theoretical contents of the subject, with the aim of transferring knowledge,
keynote speech	promoting its development and facilitating the student the elaboration of the specific work of the Workshop. Stimulating their autonomous learning.
Objective test	The student will develop in the classroom, a design exercise that must show in a synthetic way the abilities and competences
-	acquired, regarding issues related to the themes and places undertaken in the course exercises.
	This test may include questions on the topics covered in the Master Classes.
Directed discussion	Group dynamics technique in which group members discuss in a free, informal and spontaneous way about an issue, but may be conducted by the professor.
Field trip	Visiting the place where the designs will be set. The aim are facilitating direct and systematic observation of the site, gathering
	information, data, sketches, analysis, etc.
Workshop	The workshop is the main teaching method in this subject. Different proccesses can be applied, such as individual and group
	tasks, discussions, assessments, personal advice, and so on.
	In the workshop, the students will develop the exercises formulated, under the teacher's support and supervision.
	Collaborative learning: The group is divided in smaller ones, where the students and the teacher work together to solve the
	tasks needed. That is the way of gathering and sharing the biggest amount of information possible. This includes data
	gathering, on site measurements, infographic treatment of documents, site analysis, example searching, construction of scale
	models representing the environment, and so forth.
	Complementary lectures will be given, delivering theoretical support for each of the design stages. This will help the students
	to find the more adequate solution for the exercises and to develop and materialise them.
	The individual and collective outcomes of the workshop will be collected in a Portfolio.
Document analysis	It is the collection and processing of data coming from the theoretical lectures as well as the bibliographic and documental searches.
	This work will be gathered in the student's Portfolio.
Diagramming	Synthesis between the main contents and the personal reflections regarding architectural design: graphic data, images,
	drawings, sketches, bibliography and notes related to the site. The design process will be shown. work as well as to the
	development of each one of the exercises proposed.
	This work will be gathered in the student's Portfolio.
Workbook	Readings undertaken from a critical attitude, both of references given by the teachers and the ones proposed by the student
	as well. Summaries and notes showing the fundamental contents and main ideas.
	This work will be gathered in the student's Portfolio.
Events academic /	Attendance and/or participation in scientific and/or informative events (congresses, conferences, symposiums, courses,
information	seminars, conferences, exhibitions, etc.) indicated by the teaching staff of the subject as part of the teaching content of the
	course with the aim of delving into knowledge of study topics related to the subject.
	Preparation of material synthesis of the work carried out in the matter for its publication or public exhibition.

	Personalized attention
Methodologies	Description



Workshop	The teacher responsable of each group in the Workshop will guide simultaneously the group work as well as the work of each
	student assuring the individual adequate progression during the design process.
	This personalised attention will be extended to the shared Workshop.

		Assessment	
Methodologies	Competencies /	Description	Qualification
	Results		
Workshop	A34 A37 A39 A50	Architectural Design 3 will be taught in the workshop during approximately 30	80
	A53 A55 A56 A57	meetings, of which 15 have shared teaching with teachers from other departments.	
	A63 B1 B2 B3 B6 B9		
	B12 C1 C3 C4 C5 C6	Progressive, continuous and global assessment.	
	C7 C8	Pass conditions are:	
		1. Students are expected to hand in every scheduled piece of work on time. There	
		must be a positive progression in our evaluation of their work.	
		2. Students are expected to attend every workshop session. A minimum of 80%	
		attendance is required.	
		The assessment of the Design Workshop will take into account the student's personal	
		work, supervised by the teacher. The worksop outcome consist on two exercises that	
		will be gathered in the student's Portfolio.	
Objective test	A34 A37 A39 A50	The objective test will consist on a practical exercise that let the students show in a	20
	A53 A55 A56 A57	synthetic way their abilities and competences acquired after having taken the course.	
		This test can include questions about the theoretical contents of the lectures delivered.	
Document analysis	A34 A37 A53 A55	This work will be gathered in the student's Portfolio and assessed together with the	0
	A56 A57 B6	workshop outcomes.	
Diagramming	A34 A37 B1 B2 B3 B6	This work will be gathered in the student's Portfolio and assessed together with the workshop outcomes.	0
Workbook	A53 A55 A56 A57 B6	This work will be gathered in the student's Portfolio and assessed together with the workshop outcomes.	0
Events academic /	A34 A63 C7 C8	This work will be assessed with the workshop outcomes.	0

Assessment comments



Pass conditions for the First Opportunity: 1_Minimum class attendance of 80% (except for students with part-time dedication). 2_All exercises must be handed in on time with a complete proposal, according the subject schedule. Adding or modifying documents of the delivery after the deadline is not allowed when the proposal is clearly unfinished. 3_Taking the objective test. If any of the conditions is not met, thegrade will be "Absent". If the two first conditions are not met, the Second Opportunity grade willbe "Absent", because the continuous evaluation requirement is not met. The test is eliminatory, the minimum qualification to pass the subject is apt. The deliveries cannot be completed between the exams of first and second opportunity. Grade composition at First Opportunity: -80% Workshop outcome. -20% Objective test. Grade composition at Second Opportunity: The objective test may weigh up to 50% of the grade as long as it benefits the student. Dedication measures for part-time students: they are not contemplated, because it is a matter in which the workshop is the fundamental methodology. Academic exemption: it is not contemplated, as it is a subject in which the workshop is the fundamental methodology. Apart from other academic and legal consequences, plagiarism will result in a grade of zero in the subject.

Sources of information



Basic	- ALEXANDER, Ch. (1980). Un lenguaje de patrones Barcelona: Gustavo Gili.
	- ARNHEIM, R. (1979). Arte y percepción visual. Psicología del ojo creador Madrid: Alianza Editorial.
	- CARERI, F. (2002). Walkscapes. El andar como práctica estética Barcelona: Gustavo Gili.
	- DESPLAZES, A. (2010). Construir la Arquitectura: Del material en bruto al edificio. Un manual Barcelona: Gustavo
	Gili.
	- HERTZBERGER, H. (1991). Lessons for students in architecture Rotterdam: 010 Publishers.
	- LE CORBUSIER. (2014). Mensaje a los estudiantes de arquitectura Buenos Aires: Infinito.
	- LYNCH, K. (1998). La imagen de la ciudad Barcelona: Gustavo Gili.
	- MARTÍ ARÍS, C. (1993). Las variaciones sobre la identidad Barcelona: El Serbal.
	- McHARG, I. L. (1969). Design with nature Garden City, N.Y.:Natural History Press.
	- MONEO, R. (2004). Inquietud teórica y estrategia proyectual Barcelona: Gustavo Gili.
	- MONTANER, J. M. (2008). Sistemas arquitectónicos contemporáneos Barcelona: Gustavo Gili.
	- NORBERG-SCHULZ, Ch. (1975). Existencia, espacio y arquitectura Barcelona: Blume.
	- NORBERG-SCHULZ, Ch. (1980). Genius Loci Barcelona: Gustavo Gili.
	- PALLASMA, J. (2014). Los ojos de la piel. La arquitectura y los sentidos Barcelona: Gustavo Gili.
	- RASMUSSEN, S.E. (2000). La experiencia de la arquitectura. Sobre la percepción de nuestro entorno Madrid:
	Celeste.
	- ROWE, C. (1981). Ciudad collage Barcelona: Gustavo Gili.
	- SOLÁ-MORALES, M. (1997). Las formas de crecimiento urbano Barcelona: UPC.
	- TANIZAKI, J. (2017). El elogio de la sombra Madrid: Siruela.
	- ZEVI, B. (1981). Saber ver la arquitectura Barcelona: Poseidón.
Complementary	-ABALOS, I. (2010). Naturaleza y artificio. Barcelona: Gustavo GiliCORTÉS, J.A. y MONEO, J.R. (1976).
	Comentarios sobre dibujos de 20 arquitectos actuales. Barcelona: ETSABDE LLANO, P. (2006). Arquitectura
	popular en Galicia: Razón y construcción. Santiago de Compostela: XeraisGAUSA, M. et. al. (2002). Diccionario
	Metapolis de Arquitectura Avanzada. Barcelona: ActarKANDINSKY, Wassily (2007). Cursos de la Bauhaus. Madrid:
	Alianza EditorialKLEE, Paul (1972). Pedagogical sketchbook. Nueva York: Praeger PublishersLENAGHAN, P et al.
	(2016). Una mirada de antaño: Fotografías de Ruth Matilda Anderson en Galicia. A Coruña: Afundación, The Hispanic
	Society of AmericaMUNARI, B. (2005). El arte como oficio. Barcelona: Idea BooksMUNTAÑOLA Th., J. (2004).
	Arquitectura y contexto. Barcelona: UPCOTERO PEDRAYO, R. (2009). Paisaxe e cultura. Vigo: GalaxiaVILLARES,
	R. (2004). Historia de Galicia. Vigo: GalaxiaALONSO PEREIRA, J.R. (2005). Introducción a la historia de la
	arquitectura. Barcelona: RevertéBALDELLOU, M.A. (1995). Arquitectura moderna en Galicia. Barcelona: Electa.

Recommendations	
Subjects that it is recommended to have taken before	
Architectural Analysis 1/630G02012	
Architectural Design 2/630G02006	
Architectural Design 1/630G02001	
Subjects that are recommended to be taken simultaneously	
Urbanism 1/630G02018	
Architectural Analysis 2/630G02017	
Subjects that continue the syllabus	
Architectural Design 4/630G02016	
Other comments	
Specific conditions related to mobility for incoming and outgoing students: Since the subject of Architectural Design 3 pursues a continuous evaluation	
for all students, including those who are in outgoing or incoming mobility, the same conditions of evaluation will be applied for all students.	
Nevertheless, specific attention will be given to incoming students because of language difficulties or other clear differences between the teaching in	
the universities of origin and destination.	



(*)The teaching guide is the document in which the URV publishes the information about all its courses. It is a public document and cannot be modified. Only in exceptional cases can it be revised by the competent agent or duly revised so that it is in line with current legislation.