

		Teaching Guide		
	Ident	tifying Data		2023/24
Subject (*)	Final Dissertation		Code	612G01031
Study programme	Grao en Dereito			
		Descriptors		
Cycle	Period	Year	Туре	Credits
First and Second Cycle	e Yearly	Fourth	Obligatory	6
Language	SpanishGalicianEnglish	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Teaching method	Face-to-face			
Prerequisites				
Department	Dereito PrivadoDereito Públi	со		
Coordinador		E-m	ail	



Lecturers	Aba Catoira, Ana Maria	E-mail	ana.abac@udc.es
Lecturers	Aba Catoira, Ana Maria	E-mail	
	Alvarez Barbeito, Pilar		pilar.alvarez@udc.es
	Alvarez Lata, Natalia		natalia.alvarez.lata@udc.es
	Arean Lalin, Manuel		manuel.arean@udc.es
	Arufe Varela, Alberto		alberto.arufe.varela@udc.es
	Barrio Garcia, Gonzalo		gonzalo.barrio@udc.es
	Bello Janeiro, Domingo		domingo.bello@udc.es
	Brandariz Garcia, Jose angel		jose.angel.brandariz@udc.es
	Cachafeiro Garcia, Fernando		fernando.garcia@udc.es
	Castro Mejuto, Luis Fernando de		luis.decastro@udc.es
	Cebreiros alvarez, Eduardo		eduardo.cebreiros@udc.es
	Cernadas Lázare, Marta		marta.cernadas.lazare@udc.es
	Colina Garea, Rafael		rafael.colina@udc.es
	Collado Rodríguez, Noelia		noelia.collado@udc.es
	Fernandez Carballal, Almudena		almudena.fernandez.carballal@udc.es
	Ferreiro Baamonde, Xulio		xulio.ferreiro@udc.es
	Fuentes Loureiro, María Ángeles		maria.fuentes.loureiro@udc.es
	Garcia Camiñas, Julio		julio.garcia.caminas@udc.es
	Garcia Perez, Marta Maria		marta.garcia.perez@udc.es
	Garcia Perez, Rafael		rafael.garcia.perez@udc.es
	Garcimartin Montero, Carmen		carmen.garcimartin@udc.es
	Gayan Rodriguez, Eloy Miguel		e.gayan@udc.es
	Jove Villares, Daniel		d.jove.villares@udc.es
	Legeren Molina, Antonio		antonio.legeren@udc.es
	Lois Bastida, María Pilar Fátima		m.lois@udc.es
	Lopez Suarez, Marcos A.		marcos.lopezs@udc.es
	Martínez-Buján Pérez, Carlos		c.martinez-bujan@udc.es
	Quindimil Lopez, Jorge Antonio		jorge.quindimil@udc.es
	Quintans Eiras, Maria Rocio		rocio.quintans@udc.es
	Ramos Vazquez, Jose Antonio		jose.ramos.vazquez@udc.es
	Raposo Arceo, Juan Jesus		juan.raposo.arceo@udc.es
	Rodriguez Docampo, Maria Jose		maria.jose.rodriguez.docampo@udc.es
	Rodríguez López, Silvia		s.rodriguezl@udc.es
	Ron Latas, Ricardo Pedro		ricardo.ron@udc.es
	Serna Bermudez, Pedro		pedro.serna@udc.es
	Sobrido Prieto, Marta		marta.sobrido@udc.es
	Soto Díaz, David José		david.sdiaz@udc.es
	Souto García, Eva María		eva.maria.soutog@udc.es
	Vara Parra, Jose Joaquin		joaquin.vara@udc.es
	Vazquez Pena, Manuel Jose		manuel.jose.vazquez.pena@udc.es
	Vergara Lacalle, Oscar		oscar.vergara@udc.es
	Vizcaíno Ramos, Iván		i.vizcaino@udc.es
Web		1	
General description		plete a practical case	or a specific legal report under the supervisions of
	one or more tutors.		
			d in this work, as well as the knowledge, skills and
	abilities acquired during the teaching period of the degree.		

Study programme competences / results



Code	Study programme competences / results
A1	Knowledge of the main legal institutions
A2	Knowledge of the role of law as a regulatory system of social relations
A3	Grasping the systematic nature of the legal system
A4	Appreciating the interdisciplinary nature of legal problems
A5	Knowing the constitutional principles and values.
A6	Understanding the different manifestations of law in its historical evolution and in its current reality.
A7	Knowing the national and international legal and political structures.
A8	Basic knowledge of legal argumentation.
A9	Ability to handle legal sources (legal, jurisprudential and doctrinal).
A10	Ability to interpret and critically assess the legal system.
A11	Ability to understand and write legal documents.
A12	Management of legal oratory (ability to express themselves properly in public).
A13	Mastering new technologies applied to law.
A14	Ability to draft legal norms.
A15	Ability to negotiate and mediate.
B3	Ability to gather and interpret relevant data (usually within their area of study) to make judgments that include a reflection on social,
	scientific or ethical relevant issues.
B4	Ability to transmit information, ideas, problems and solutions to a specialized and non-specialized public.
B5	Acquisition and assessment of those learning skills necessary to undertake further studies with a high degree of autonomy
B7	Effective problem solving.
B8	Critical, logical, and creative thinking.
B9	Working autonomously on own initiative with a lifelong learning approach.
B11	Ethical and social responsibility.
C1	Adequate oral and written expression in the official languages.
C3	Using ICT in working contexts and lifelong learning.
C4	Exercising an open, educated, critical, committed, democratic and supportive citizenship for the sake of the common good.
C7	Assume as a professional and citizen the importance of lifelong learning.
C8	Valuing the importance of research, innovation and technological development for the socioeconomic and cultural progress of society.

Learning outcomes			
Learning outcomes	Study	y progra	imme
	con	npetenc	es /
		results	
Problem solving	A1	B7	C3
	A5	B11	C7
	A6	B3	
	A7	B4	
	A11	B5	
	A13		
	A14		
	A15		
Critical thinking		B8	
Working independently		B9	
Correct oral and written expression			C1
Ability to analyse reality and solve problems			C4
Assessing the importance of research and innovation in the socioeconomic and cultural advancement of society			C8
Dealing with legal oratory	A12		
Ability to interpret and critically analyse the legal system	A10		
Ability to handle legal sources	A9		



Basic knowledge of legal argumentation	A8	
Perception of the interdisciplinary nature of legal problems	A4	
Perception of the systematic nature of the legal system	A3	
Understanding the function of Law as a system regulating social relations	A2	

Contents		
Торіс	Sub-topic	
For the TFG each student must individually complete a	The educational content received must be incorporated and developed in this work, as	
practical case or a specific legal report under the supervisions	well as the knowledge, skills and abilities acquired during the teaching period of the	
of one or more tutors.	degree.	

	Planning	g		
Methodologies / tests	Competencies /	Teaching hours	Student?s personal	Total hours
	Results	(in-person & virtual)	work hours	
Oral presentation	A1 A5 A6 A7 A8 A11	1	6	7
	A12 A13 A14 A15 B8			
	B11 B3 B4 B5 C1 C3			
	C7			
Supervised projects	A2 A3 A4 A10 B7 B9	0	50	50
	C4 C8			
Document analysis	A9 A10 B9	0	75	75
Personalized attention		18	0	18

(*)The information in the planning table is for guidance only and does not take into account the heterogeneity of the students.

	Methodologies
Methodologies	Description
Oral presentation	The students will defend their TFG publicly and face-to-face. If a student should request, providing reasons and justification, to
	defend their TFG in a distance rather than face-to-face manner, they will need to do so before the Study Programme Board, a
	department of the Governing Council, in order to authorise it or not. This will be done provided that the required legal,
	technical, administrative and economic conditions are met. The completion, presentation and defence of the TFG may be
	carried out in any of the languages using the teaching of the course, in accordance with the provisions of the degree
	verification report.
	The panel will inform each student of the maximum amount of time they have to defend their TFG, during which they must set
	out the objectives, methodology, content and conclusions of their work. At the end the student must answer any questions put
	to them by members of the assessment panel and respond to any clarifications, comments and suggestions.
Supervised projects	Methodology designed to encourage the students to learn independently, under the guidance of the tutor and in various
	settings (academic and professional). The main emphasis focuses on learning ?how to do things?. It is an option based on
	students taking responsibility for their own learning.
	This teaching system is based on two basic elements: the independent learning of the students and the monitoring of this
	learning by the teacher-tutor.
Document analysis	The student must analyse the legal and caselaw sources in the appropriate way in order to rule on the case or to produce the
	proposed report correctly.

	Personalized attention
Methodologies	Description



Supervised projects	The TFG will be directed by a member of the faculty of the departments with full-time teaching in the curriculum of the degree.
	Such professor will be responsible for:
	a) explaining the characteristics of the work to the students under his/her supervision, and guiding them in its development;
	b) follow up on the development of the TFG and ensure compliance with the objectives set;
	c) authorize the presentation and defense of the TFG.
	The work of the tutor will consist of advising and correcting the work at the formal level, without entering into the correction of the material content of the case.
	The student must contact the tutor, arrange tutorials throughout the term and comply with the calendar that, eventually, the tutor may indicate. Repeated failure to comply with these duties will result in an unfavorable report and, as a consequence, the impossibility of submitting the TFG.

		Assessment	
Methodologies	Competencies /	Description	Qualification
	Results		
Oral presentation	A1 A5 A6 A7 A8 A11	O alumno fará unha exposición pública oral ante o tribunal que avalía os traballos fin	50
	A12 A13 A14 A15 B8	de grao no que exporá a metodoloxía empregada e a resolución da tarefa proposta	
	B11 B3 B4 B5 C1 C3		
	C7		
Supervised projects	A2 A3 A4 A10 B7 B9	Calidad del trabajo presentado	50
	C4 C8		

Assessment comments

Once the presentation has been made by the student, the panel will deliberate on the corresponding grade. The evaluation criteria of the teaching guide and the provisions of the verification report of the degree will be applied. The criteria will be made public before the defense of the TFG. For the final grade, the arithmetic mean of the grades assigned to the TFG by each of the members of the examining board will be established. In the last calls, as a guideline, the Court is applying the following criteria regarding the oral presentation: up to 20% for the TFG presentation and up

to 30% for the question and answer session. The grade is awarded according to the following numerical scale established in Royal Decree 1125/2003, from 0 to 10, with one decimal place, to which the corresponding qualitative grade must be added: a) 0-4.9 fail b) 5.0-6.9 pass c) 7.0-8.9 outstanding d) 9.0-10 outstanding.

Students who obtain a grade equal to or higher than 9.0 points, according to the criteria of the examining board, may be awarded the honorable mention. The revision and/or claim of the final grades of the TFG will be carried out in accordance with the UDC regulations.

In case of plagiarism, the work will be graded with a grade of Fail (0) and the appropriate complaint will be processed to the competent academic authorities, with the aim of debugging the possible responsibilities of the student.

	Sources of information
Basic	O alumnado deberá seleccionar a fontes legais, doutrinais e xurisprudenciais máis axeitadas ao suposto elexido para
	desenvolver do mellor xeito o TFG. Recoméndase a utilización de bases de datos xurisprudenciais, a consulta de
	artigos e monografías doutrinais e de fontes legais actualizadas.
Complementary	

Recommendations
Subjects that it is recommended to have taken before



Subjects that are recommended to be taken simultaneously

Subjects that continue the syllabus

Other comments

With regards the TFG, it is an indispensable requirement that the students have passed all the subjects of the Law Degree. The regulations allow the students to submit the TFG while they are still yet to pass some subjects, but they may only defend the TFG when they have passed all the subjects of the Law Degree.

(*)The teaching guide is the document in which the URV publishes the information about all its courses. It is a public document and cannot be modified. Only in exceptional cases can it be revised by the competent agent or duly revised so that it is in line with current legislation.