| | | Teaching | g Guide | | | |
|-----------------------|--|--|-------------------|-------------------------------------|-------------------------|--|
| | Identifyii | ng Data | | | 2023/24 | |
| Subject (*) | Law and Biomedicine | | | Code | 612G01040 | |
| Study programme | Grao en Dereito | | | | ' | |
| | | Descrip | otors | | | |
| Cycle | Period | Yea | ar | Туре | Credits | |
| First and Second Cycl | e 2nd four-month period | Four | rth | Optional | 4.5 | |
| Language | SpanishEnglish | | | | | |
| Teaching method | Face-to-face | | | | | |
| Prerequisites | | | | | | |
| Department | Dereito Privado | | | | | |
| Coordinador | Seoane Rodriguez, Jose Antonio | Seoane Rodriguez, Jose Antonio E-mail jose.antonio.seoane@udc.es | | | oane@udc.es | |
| Lecturers | Pereira Saez, Maria Carolina | | E-mail | c.pereira.saez@ | @udc.es | |
| | Seoane Rodriguez, Jose Antonio | | | jose.antonio.se | eoane@udc.es | |
| Web | http://filosofiaderechocoruna.es/ | | | | | |
| General description | Law and biomedicine (Health Law) is concerned with the legal answers to human life and health, which are considered two | | | | | |
| | highly appreciated social and individual values. By means of the analysis of legal sources, case study, lectures and special | | | s, case study, lectures and special | | |
| | workshops students learn into depth both classical and current legal answers to life and health issues, stressing the human | | | health issues, stressing the human | | |
| | rights approach. Special attention | n is paid to classi | ical and contempo | rary cases, mainly th | e judgments of national | |
| | Constitutional and Supreme Courts, as well as the European Court of Human Rights and the European Court of Justice. | | | | | |

| | Study programme competences |
|---------|---|
| 0 - 1 - | 7. 0 |
| Code | Study programme competences |
| A2 | Knowledge of the role of law as a regulatory system of social relations |
| А3 | Grasping the systematic nature of the legal system |
| A4 | Appreciating the interdisciplinary nature of legal problems |
| A5 | Knowing the constitutional principles and values. |
| A8 | Basic knowledge of legal argumentation. |
| A9 | Ability to handle legal sources (legal, jurisprudential and doctrinal). |
| A10 | Ability to interpret and critically assess the legal system. |
| A15 | Ability to negotiate and mediate. |
| B2 | Ability to know how to apply their knowledge to their work or vocation in a professional way and possess the skills that are usually |
| | demonstrated through the elaboration and defense of arguments and the resolution of problems within their area of study. |
| В3 | Ability to gather and interpret relevant data (usually within their area of study) to make judgments that include a reflection on social, |
| | scientific or ethical relevant issues. |
| B5 | Acquisition and assessment of those learning skills necessary to undertake further studies with a high degree of autonomy |
| B7 | Effective problem solving. |
| B8 | Critical, logical, and creative thinking. |
| B10 | Teamwork and collaboration. |
| B11 | Ethical and social responsibility. |
| C1 | Adequate oral and written expression in the official languages. |
| C4 | Exercising an open, educated, critical, committed, democratic and supportive citizenship for the sake of the common good. |
| C6 | Critically assess the knowledge, technology and information available to solve the problems they face. |
| C7 | Assume as a professional and citizen the importance of lifelong learning. |
| C8 | Valuing the importance of research, innovation and technological development for the socioeconomic and cultural progress of society. |
| | |

| Learning outcomes | |
|-------------------|-----------------|
| Learning outcomes | Study programme |
| | competences |

| Achieve the abilities to identify and assess the legal dimensions of life and health issues | А3 | В8 | C1 |
|---|-----|-----|----|
| | A4 | B11 | C4 |
| | A5 | В3 | C6 |
| | A8 | B5 | C7 |
| | A9 | | C8 |
| Understand the meaning of the basic legal concepts, principles and norms regarding human life and health | A2 | B7 | C1 |
| | A3 | B8 | C4 |
| | A4 | B11 | C6 |
| | A5 | B2 | C7 |
| | A8 | В3 | C8 |
| | A9 | B5 | |
| | A10 | | |
| | A15 | | |
| Achieve the argumentative abilites to take parte in biomedical decision-making and to advise healthcare professionals | A4 | В7 | C1 |
| | A5 | В8 | C4 |
| | A8 | B10 | C6 |
| | A9 | B11 | C8 |
| | A10 | B2 | |
| | A15 | В3 | |
| | | B5 | |

| | Contents |
|---|---|
| Topic | Sub-topic |
| Section I. Health care and justice | 1. The concept of health |
| | 2. The right to health |
| | 3. Health care systems |
| | 4. Health justice |
| Section II. Biomedical decision-making and patient autonomy | 1. Clinical relationship |
| | 2. Patient autonomies. Concept and limits |
| | 3. Decisional autonomy |
| | 4. Informational autonomy |
| | 5. Executive autonomy |
| Section III. Beginning of life | 1. The status of the human embryo |
| | 2. Abortion and termination of pregnancy |
| | 3. Medically assisted reproduction |
| | 4. Surrogate motherhood |
| | 5. Wrongful life, wrongful birth and wrongful conception |
| Section IV. End of life | 1. End of life. Concepts and settings |
| | 2. Euthanasia and physician-assisted suicide |
| | 3. The legal meaning of death. Is there a right to die? |
| | 4. Organ and tissues transplantation |
| Section V. Biomedical research | Research with human subjects, biological samples and personal data |
| | 2. Animal experimentation |
| | 3. Research with biological agents and genetically modified organisms (GMO) |

| | Planning | g | | |
|-----------------------|--------------|----------------|--------------------|-------------|
| Methodologies / tests | Competencies | Ordinary class | Student?s personal | Total hours |
| | | hours | work hours | |

| Personalized attention | | 5 | 0 | 5 |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|-----|----|-----|
| | C6 C8 | | | |
| | B7 B8 B10 B3 B5 C1 | | | |
| Document analysis | A3 A4 A5 A8 A9 A10 | 10 | 20 | 30 |
| | C7 C8 | | | |
| | B2 B3 B5 C1 C4 C6 | | | |
| | A15 B7 B8 B10 B11 | | | |
| Workshop | A3 A4 A5 A8 A9 A10 | 4 | 12 | 16 |
| | A10 B8 C6 C8 | | | |
| Guest lecture / keynote speech | A2 A3 A4 A5 A8 A9 | 12 | 24 | 36 |
| | A10 B7 B8 B3 C1 | | | |
| Multiple-choice questions | A2 A3 A4 A5 A8 A9 | 1.5 | 6 | 7.5 |
| | B2 B3 B5 C1 C4 C6 | | | |
| | A10 A15 B8 B10 B11 | | | |
| Case study | A2 A3 A4 A5 A8 A9 | 6 | 12 | 18 |

| | Methodologies |
|-------------------|---|
| Methodologies | Description |
| Case study | The knowledge of life and health issues requires the analysis both of national and international statutes and case law. |
| | Students learn into depth classical and contemporary cases, specially the rulings of the Constitutional and Supreme Courts as |
| | well as the European Court of Human Rights and the European Court of Justice |
| | Case study trains the students to identify the legal issues at stake, deliberate about them and find a solution using legal |
| | arguments |
| | Case study includes other methodologies: analysis of bibliographical and normative sources, as well as research papers. |
| | |
| Multiple-choice | Assessment of the knowledge and understanding of bibliographical and legal sources. |
| questions | |
| Guest lecture / | Lectures ease the understanding of the special features, language, and concepts of health law, following a human |
| keynote speech | rights-based approach. Furthermore, lectures are intended to clarify the meaning of the bibliographical and normative sources |
| | and the main topics |
| Workshop | Specific topics are studied thoroughly combining different methodologies (legal sources analysis, case study). It is expected |
| | the student takes part more actively and individually, implementing his/her knowledge and argumentative skills. |
| | Some of the workshops are given by guest and visiting professors. |
| Document analysis | The main legal answers to life and health issues come from international and national statutes and case law. Identifying, |

| Personalized attention | | |
|------------------------|---|--|
| Methodologies | Description | |
| Document analysis | Advise on selection and assessment of bibliographical and normative sources. | |
| Workshop | Advise on selection of workshop issues and on deliberation on document analysis and clinical and legal cases. | |
| | Students with part-time dedication and exemption from class attendance. Supervision through tutorials. | |

biomedicine and law.

understanding and assessing these legal sources is an important feature to grasp the meaning of relations between

| | | Assessment | |
|---------------|--------------|-------------|---------------|
| Methodologies | Competencies | Description | Qualification |

| Document analysis | A3 A4 A5 A8 A9 A10 | Assessment of the ability to select, understand and communicate the meaning and | 30 |
|-------------------|--------------------|---|----|
| | B7 B8 B10 B3 B5 C1 | main features of the bibliographical and, spaecilly, normative sources, both in a written | |
| | C6 C8 | and oral form. | |
| Multiple-choice | A2 A3 A4 A5 A8 A9 | Assessment of the knowledge and understanding of bibliographical and legal sources. | 40 |
| questions | A10 B7 B8 B3 C1 | The test consists of several short questions to be responded orally. | |
| Workshop | A3 A4 A5 A8 A9 A10 | Assessment of the ability to select, understand, analyse and communicate the | 30 |
| | A15 B7 B8 B10 B11 | different issues dealt with using the bibliographical and normative (legislation and | |
| | B2 B3 B5 C1 C4 C6 | case-law) sources. | |
| | C7 C8 | Assessment of the argumentative abilites, mainly the oral ones, as well as | |
| | | participation in deliberations. | |

Assessment comments

1. Assessment of the second opportunity

The student can keep the grade obtained in the workshops, document analysis, and multiple-choice questions if it is equal or higher than a passing grade in each of these parts. In case of a failing grade in the workshops, he/she will be able to use the modality for students with acknowledged part-time dedication and exemption from class attendance.

2. Plagiarism or academic fraud

Student fraudulent behavior in the assessment activities, and particularly plagiarism (in the workshops and document analysis), will result in a grade of "0 (Failing grade)" in the corresponding opportunity.

3. Assessment criteria for students with acknowledged part-time dedication and exemption from class attendance.

The multiple-choice questions (40%) will have the same conditions as for the rest of the students.

The assessment of the workshops and the document analysis will consist of the delivery of written comments on the normative or bibliographic sources and a brief oral comment before the professor before the date of the exam (multiple-choice questions).

| | Sources of information |
|---------------|---|
| Basic | - Roberto Andorno (2012). Bioética y dignidad de la persona. Madrid: Alianza |
| | - Roberto Andorno (2013). Principles of international biolaw. Bruxelles: Bruylant |
| | - Jonathan Herring (2010). Medical law and ethics. Oxford: OUP |
| | - Andrés Ollero (2006). Bioderecho: entre la vida y la muerte. Cizur Menor (Navarra): Thomson-Aranzadi |
| | - Andelka M Phillips et al. (ed.) (2019). Philosophical Foundations of Medical Law. Oxford: OUP |
| | - Carlos María Romeo Casabona (dir.) (2011). Enciclopedia de Bioderecho y Bioética. Granada: Comares; Deusto: |
| | Cátedra Interuniversitaria Fundación BBVA-Diputación Foral de Bizkaia de D |
| | - Javier Sánchez-Caro, Fernando Abellán (2003). Derechos y deberes de los pacientes (Ley 41/2002, de 14 de |
| | noviembre). Granada: Comares |
| | - Gostin, Lawrence O. (2014). Global health law. Harvard University Press |
| Complementary | |

| Recommendations |
|--|
| Subjects that it is recommended to have taken before |
| |
| Subjects that are recommended to be taken simultaneously |
| Legal Reasoning Theory and Practice/612G01041 |
| Subjects that continue the syllabus |
| |
| Other comments |
| |

(*)The teaching guide is the document in which the URV publishes the information about all its courses. It is a public document and cannot be modified. Only in exceptional cases can it be revised by the competent agent or duly revised so that it is in line with current legislation.