

		Teachin	g Guide		
	Identifying	Data			2021/22
Subject (*)	Philosophy of Law			Code	612G01026
Study programme	Grao en Dereito				·
		Desci	riptors		
Cycle	Period	Ye	ear	Туре	Credits
First and Second Cycle	e 2nd four-month period	Th	ird	Obligatory	6
Language	SpanishGalicianEnglish				
Teaching method	Face-to-face				
Prerequisites					
Department	Dereito Privado				
Coordinador	Serna Bermudez, Pedro E-mail pedro.serna@udc.es				dc.es
Lecturers	Crego Blanco, Jorge		E-mail	jorge.crego@ud	c.es
	Rivas Pala, Pedro			pedro.rivas@ude	c.es
	Seoane Rodriguez, Jose Antonio			jose.antonio.seo	ane@udc.es
	Serna Bermudez, Pedro			pedro.serna@uc	dc.es
Web				·	
General description	The course seeks to provide a glob	al understand	ding of the legal phe	enomenon through a c	ritical review of the historical,
	political and scientific basis of the c	dominant para	adigm in contempora	ary Law. It also aims to	o provide students with a deeper
	understanding of some central notice	ons, institutio	ns, processes and s	structures studied thro	ughout the degree, unifying and
	synthesizing this knowledge. There	efore, the aim	is to carry out a wo	rk of synthesis and de	epening, both historically and
	conceptually. In addition, it is intend	ded to introdu	ice students to the k	knowledge and unders	standing of the transformations
	that affect current law and which re	equire a revisi	on of the dominant	paradigm (legal positiv	vism) and, finally, to suggest the
	basic lines of a legal philosophy that allows a more adequate understanding of the Law of the present moment.				



Contingency plan

1. Modifications to the contents

No changes will be made. Only those contents actually developed in the exhibition classes or in the small group sessions will be subject to evaluation.

2. Methodologies

*Teaching methodologies that are maintained

All the planned methodologies are maintained. Only the realization scenario will change, going from the face-to-face scenario to the online one.

*Teaching methodologies that are modified

Master lectures and seminars will be held online through Teams.

The essay test will be the same. The written midterm exam will be suppressed.

3. Mechanisms for personalized attention to students

a) Virtual Campus. It will be used to make available to students the (enriched) presentations of the contents of each topic. This will have been done from the beginning of the course.

It will also be used for general communication with students. It will be assumed that all students are informed of what lecturers have published in the Virtual Campus.

In addition, links to the recordings of the online lectures will be uploaded weekly.

Finally, it will be used for the completion and delivery of the written test.

b) Teams. It will be used every week for the lectures (2 hours per turn), except for the weeks in which more classes are scheduled. These lectures will be recorded and a link to them will be included in the Virtual Campus so that students can watch them if they couldn't connect, or watch them again if there is something they want to clarify.

It will also be used for small group sessions (1 hour per week for each small group). These sessions will not be recorded, normally.

They can also be used for individual and group tutoring sessions with students (as necessary, according to demand, up to a maximum of 6 hours per week).

Finally, they will be used for oral exams, which will be recorded, as well as their respective revisions.

c) E-mail. It will be used for the resolution of doubts and individual tutoring with students and for communication with the representatives. We will try to respond as soon as possible, in any case within a maximum period of one week.

4. Modifications in the evaluation

The final exam will be worth 50% of the final grade. It will be an oral exam through Teams, which will be recorded. There will be 3 or 4 questions, chosen from a list that will be provided to students in advance to facilitate the preparation of the exam.

The seminars will continue to be worth 30% of the final grade and the evaluation criteria will not change.

A written test will be introduced, representing 20% of the final grade. In it, a set of essay questions related to a part of the content of the course will have to be answered, as indicated by the teacher, in which the students will have to connect what they have learned with other knowledge acquired during their undergraduate studies.

*Evaluation observations:

Students who have difficulties in making their presentation in the seminars by connecting through Teams may, after informing the teacher in charge of their small group, follow the system foreseen in the teaching guide for students with dispensation from attending classes. If they have already participated assiduously throughout the course and the difficulty



in making their intervention in the form of a question or comment is occasional, they can make it through the Virtual Campus chat or send it by e-mail to the teacher.

Those who do not pass the part of the seminars in May must present themselves in July, carrying out the tasks foreseen in the teaching guide for students who are dispensed from attending classes.

5. Modifications to the bibliography or webgraphy

No changes are planned. For the students in the English language group, documents or links will be added for voluntary consultation to websites where they can find support material for the preparation of part of the topics set out in the lectures. Care will be taken not to increase the workload associated with the subject.

	Study programme competences / results
Code	Study programme competences / results
A2	Knowledge of the role of law as a regulatory system of social relations
А3	Grasping the systematic nature of the legal system
A4	Appreciating the interdisciplinary nature of legal problems
A5	Knowing the constitutional principles and values.
A6	Understanding the different manifestations of law in its historical evolution and in its current reality.
A8	Basic knowledge of legal argumentation.
A10	Ability to interpret and critically assess the legal system.
A11	Ability to understand and write legal documents.
A12	Management of legal oratory (ability to express themselves properly in public).
A14	Ability to draft legal norms.
B1	Knowledge in an area of study that is based on general secondary education, and is usually found at a level that, although supported by
	advanced textbooks, includes also some aspects that involve knowledge from the forefront of his field of study.
B2	Ability to know how to apply their knowledge to their work or vocation in a professional way and possess the skills that are usually
	demonstrated through the elaboration and defense of arguments and the resolution of problems within their area of study.
В3	Ability to gather and interpret relevant data (usually within their area of study) to make judgments that include a reflection on social,
	scientific or ethical relevant issues.
B5	Acquisition and assessment of those learning skills necessary to undertake further studies with a high degree of autonomy
B6	Learning to learn.
B8	Critical, logical, and creative thinking.
В9	Working autonomously on own initiative with a lifelong learning approach.
B11	Ethical and social responsibility.
C1	Adequate oral and written expression in the official languages.
C4	Exercising an open, educated, critical, committed, democratic and supportive citizenship for the sake of the common good.
C6	Critically assess the knowledge, technology and information available to solve the problems they face.
C7	Assume as a professional and citizen the importance of lifelong learning.
C8	Valuing the importance of research, innovation and technological development for the socioeconomic and cultural progress of society.

Learning outcomes			
Learning outcomes		Study programme	
	con	npetend	es/
		results	
Achieve a global vision of Western Law during Modernity, of the deep transformations experienced by contemporary legal	A2	В9	C4
systems, as well as of the main current debates on the political framework of Law.	А3	B1	C6
	A4	B2	C7
	A6	В3	
	A10	B5	
	A12		
Develop conceptual and methodological tools for the critique of the general approach to Law received as an implicit part of the	A2	В6	C4
degree.	A5	B8	C6
	A6	B11	C8
	A8	B1	
	A11	B2	
	A14	В3	
Develop the capacity of legal and ethical-political argumentation with a critical sense and in a creative way.	A4	B8	C1
	A8		C4
	A10		C6
	A11		
	A12		

Understand the main lines of modern and contemporary thought on justice in its relation to Law, and developing a personal	A2	В6	C1
critical point of view on their application to current debates.	A4	B8	C4
	A6	B11	C6
	A8	B2	C7
	A10		C8
	A12		

	Contents
Topic	Sub-topic
1. Philosophy of Law as Understanding and Critique of	Philosophy of law as historical knowledge.
Contemporary Law	2. Thinking about one's own time, the task of the Philosophy of Law.
	3. Where to start? The problem of the starting point. The dominant paradigm as a
	starting point: cultural, political and legal modernity and legal positivism.
2. Legal Positivism and Legal Modernity	Legal positivism: a descriptive approach.
	2. The theoretical and ideological bases of the modern State.
	3. The transformations of the Law from the Civil Codification.
	4. The aspiration to make a science of Law: legal science in the 19th and 20th
	centuries.
3. The weaknesses of the positivist paradigm.	The unilateralism of the positivist concept of law.
	2. The difficulties of positivism in explaining legal practice and in providing tools to
	operate in it.
	3. The ideological nature of scientism and the necessarily evaluative nature of legal
	activity.
4. Current Law: Evolution of Western Legal Systems since the	Constitutionalization of legal systems.
second half of the 20th century and the beginning of the 21st	2. Transcending the framework of the State and the State-Law equation.
century	3. The debate on the sources of legitimacy: democracy and/or rule of law?
	4. New human rights versus classic human rights?
5. Attempts to manage the argumentative and deliberative	Some theories of legal argumentation Contributions and limits.
dimension of the Law.	
6. Guidelines for the implementation of a legal philosophy	An ontologically founded hermeneutic approach.
which is able to explain current Law.	2. Law as a form of coexistence.
7. Theories of justice and their impact on current debates	1. The basic historical frameworks: utilitarianism, libertarianism, kantism and
	aristotelism.
	2. Market and morality.
	3. Political justice and contemporary social democratic ethics (Rawls).
	4. Arguments for and against affirmative action. Feminism and gender policies.
	5. The question of merit.
	6. Citizenship and the requirements and limits of loyalty: what we owe each other.
	7. Justice and the common good.
	8. Sustainability.
	9. The invasion of markets on morality: from the subrogation of the female body to the
	purchase of honors.
	10. The North-South debt and the responsibility of developed countries.

Planning				
Methodologies / tests	Teaching hours	Student?s personal	Total hours	
	Results	(in-person & virtual)	work hours	
Guest lecture / keynote speech	A2 A3 A4 A5 A6 B6	40	42	82
	B3 C4 C6			

Workbook	A2 A4 A5 A6 A10 B8	0	15	15
	B1 B2 B5 C7			
Seminar	A3 A4 A5 A8 A10 A11	15	18	33
	A12 A14 B8 B11 B2			
	B3 B5 C1 C4 C8			
Long answer / essay questions	A2 A6 A10 B8 B3 C1	2	5	7
	C4			
Speaking test	A2 A3 A5 A6 A8 A10	1	4	5
	B8 B9 B2 B3 C1 C6			
Personalized attention		8	0	8
(*)The information in the planning table i	s for guidance only and does not take	into account the	heterogeneity of the st	udents.

(*) The information in the planni	ng table is for guidance on	ly and does not take into acc	count the heterogeneity of the students.
() i i i o i i i o i i i o più i i i	ing table le let galaanlee en	iy aira acce net taite iiite acc	ourse tire motor egementy or tire etaucinter

	Methodologies
Methodologies	Description
Guest lecture /	They will have an introductory character to the main topics of the course, or else of recapitulation. This methodology is related
keynote speech	to competences A4, A6, A8 and A14.
Workbook	The development of critical thinking and autonomous learning requires that the study be based on a direct reflection of the
	students from the reading of classic and contemporary texts, as well as normative texts -legislative and case law-, referring to
	part of the thematic contents of the subject. This activity is related to competences A4, A6, A10, B1, B3, B4 and C6.
Seminar	The seminars will be held in small groups to analyse and discuss the issues relating to the theory of justice indicated in topic 7.
	They require the active participation of the students, who will have to present and critically analyse the texts and issues that
	are the subject of each session.
	They serve to develop argumentative, oral and written skills, and to develop systematic, creative and critical thinking.
	This methodology is related to the competences A4, A5, A8, A10, B3, B6, C1, C4 and C6.
Long answer / essay	This test will take place before the exam period, through the Virtual Campus. It aims to assess the soundness of the
questions	knowledge of the students, through its application to texts and documents. It also aims to assess the acquisition of
	competencies B3, B8, and C4.
Speaking test	This exam combines objective and essay/developmental examination. The contents of the lectures, including the readings
	related to the contents of the first 6 topics, will be evaluated by using this type of exam. This activity is related to competencies
	B1, B3, C1, and C6. The lecturer in charge of the keynote speeches of each group, at his or her discretion, can combine this
	test with a written test, covering part of the subject matter. In case this test takes place it will liberate the assessed questions
	or topics for those who pass it. The marks received will proportionally weigh their value with that of the final speaking test,
	according to the proportion of the total subject matter covered by it.

	Personalized attention				
Methodologies	Description				
Guest lecture /	Students may consult with the professor about any doubts or difficulties that may arise with regard to the lectures, readings or				
keynote speech	seminars.				
Workbook	They may do so in individual or group sessions organized for this purpose within the teachers' tutoring hours. There is also the				
Seminar	possibility of clearing up doubts via e-mail when the nature of the doubts allows it. And there is also the possibility of carrying				
	out virtual group or individual sessions through Skype, Teams or other similar means.				

		Assessment	
Methodologies	Competencies /	Description	Qualification
	Results		

Speaking test	A2 A3 A5 A6 A8 A10 B8 B9 B2 B3 C1 C6	The exam will be used to assess the learning of the contents of the lectures and the capacity of critical reflection achieved around them. In the objective part of the exam, special emphasis will be put on understanding the contents, the ability to identify precisely the answers to the questions and the ability to respond with conceptual and linguistic accuracy, avoiding ambiguities and misunderstandings. In the questions that require reflection, the ability to synthesize, identify problems and personal reflection will also be valued. Correctness of expression will be relevant in the assessment. The percentage of this test will be proportionally shared with the written (midterm) test when the lecturer decides to carry it out.	50
Long answer / essay questions	A2 A6 A10 B8 B3 C1 C4	It will be an individual test and will be carried out with a limited time, before the start of the exams period, yet long after the start of the course, through the Virtual Campus. It will require the application of the knowledge and notions learned during the course to text and/or documents that must be analyzed. Then, an oriented reflection of the student will be demanded. It is important to demonstrate critical thinking, the capacity for philosophical reflection, and the capacity for argumentation reached during the course. In the opportunity of July, it will be possible to take this test again, but it will not be mandatory, independently of the marks obtained in the first opportunity. Those students who are absent in the first opportunity regarding this test, and is also absent in the opportunity of July, will not get any marks, yet will not be penalized if he or she passes adding the marks of the other two assessments of the course (seminar and speaking test).	20
Seminar	A3 A4 A5 A8 A10 A11 A12 A14 B8 B11 B2 B3 B5 C1 C4 C8	The assessment of the participation in the small group sessions will refer to the students' presentations and the interventions in the subsequent discussions. In the presentations, it will be possible to obtain up to one point, and the fluency of the presentation and the capacity for analysis and synthesis will be judged. In both (presentations and interventions), students will be assessed on their ability to master the thematic content, their argumentative skills and their capacity to counterargument and evaluate the different points of view in a well-founded manner. Up to 2 points can be achieved by the interventions. As this is a continuous assessment, the quality of the interventions will be considered first and, secondly, the frequency or total number of them throughout the course. The correction in oral expression will be relevant in the assessment. As a general indication, continuous evaluation cannot be approved if 4 or 5 interventions of significant value are not made in different sessions of the seminar.	30

Assessment comments

In order to pass the course, the sum of the marks of all the assessments must reach 5 points.

The two grades will not be added together if at least 1.2 points (out of a possible 3) are not obtained in the seminars; and 3.5 points (out of a possible 7) in the speaking test and the possible average with the midterm exam.

In the case of students with recognition of part-time dedication and academic dispensation of attendance who cannot participate in the seminar sessions, the evaluation of the corresponding competences (30% of the final mark) will be carried out by means of a written work on one of the headings of topic 7 and an oral discussion with the lecturer in charge of the corresponding group of seminars, which will deal with the remaining contents of topic 7, in which these students must show their level of deepening in the contents of the texts proposed for the seminars and development of a critical viewpoint. This alternative evaluation will be carried out before the start of the final examination period, on a date and at a time agreed with the lecturer. The deadline for the submission of the written work and for the oral discussion is the start day of each examination period.

Students who cannot participate in the seminar sessions for justified reasons, which must be appreciated by the coordinating lecturer of the course, can also take advantage of this alternative form of evaluation. They must inform the professor of the circumstances that justify the exceptionality within the first two school weeks of the four-month period. If the circumstances are supervened, they must be communicated as soon as they occur. Students who apply for the second call or opportunity (July) will keep their seminar grades, if they had achieved at least 1.2 points out of 3. Students who do not achieve a score of 1.2 in the May-June call in the evaluation of the seminars must follow the alternative evaluation described above in the July call or opportunity. For those who do not achieve a score of 1.5 the withdrawal of the mark for the seminars will be optional. In the opportunity of July, the deadline for the delivery of the works and of the oral discussion will be determined by the lecturers. It cannot be after the day of the official exam for

Plagiarism in any essay or copying during the written exam implies a 0 marks in that activity.

	Sources of information			
Basic	- Pedro Serna (2006). Filosofía del Derecho y paradigmas epistemológicos. México, D.F.: Porrúa			
	- Michael J. Sandel (2010). Justice: What's the Right Thing to Do?. London: Penguin			
Complementary	- Robert Alexy (2009). A Theory of Legal Argumentation. The Theory of Rational Discourse as Theory of Legal			
	Justification. Oxford: Oxford University Press			
	- John Finnis (2011). Natural Law and Natural Rights. Oxford: Clarendon Law Series			
	- H.L.A. Hart (2012). The Concept of Law. Oxford: Clarendon Law Series			
	- Hans Kelsen (2009). Pure Theory of Law. New Jersey: The Lawbook Exchange			
	- Karl Olivecrona (1971). Law as Fact. London: Sweet & Daw Maxwell			
	- Chaim Perelman (1979). The new rhetoric and the humanities: Essays on rhetoric and its applications. Dordrecht:			
	Springer			
	- John Rawls (1999). A Theory of Justice. Cambridge: Harvard University Press			
	- Robert Nozick (2013). Anarchy, State, and Utopia. New York: Basic Books			
	- Aulis Aarnio (1987). The Rational as Reasonable. A Treatise on Legal Justification. Dordrecht			

Recommendations

Subjects that it is recommended to have taken before

Spain in the Historical and Legal Context of Europe/612G01002

Constitutional Law: Sources of Law and Fundamental Rights/612G01003

Jurisprudence/612G01006 Person's Law/612G01007

that opportunity.

Criminal Law: General /612G01010
Obligations and Tort Law/612G01016
Public International Law/612G01019

Subjects that are recommended to be taken simultaneously

Subjects that continue the syllabus

Law and Biomedicine/612G01040

Legal Reasoning Theory and Practice/612G01041



04	la a u			4.
UJT	ner	com	mer	ITS

(*)The teaching guide is the document in which the URV publishes the information about all its courses. It is a public document and cannot be modified. Only in exceptional cases can it be revised by the competent agent or duly revised so that it is in line with current legislation.